

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_96483
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE OF DIFFERENT VARIETIES OF JASMINE (<i>Jasminum sambac</i>) UNDER PRAYAGRAJ AGRO-CLIMATIC CONDITIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community, as this type of articles is useful for plant breeders. 2. Suitable title 3. Yes 4. The researcher should do the following: • Make a meteorological table for the area in which the varieties were planted and compare them with the ideal weather conditions that the varieties need to give the highest production • Analysis of the soil in which the varieties were planted and whether it is suitable or not, and whether the nutrients in it are sufficient for the plants or not • The researcher had to study the nutritional status of plants 5. correct 6. yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language should be edited and corrected	
Optional/General comments	Author guidelines should be followed	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hassan A. Ennab
Department, University & Country	Horticulture Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt