

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | International Journal of Plant & Soil Science   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJPSS_96406  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Screening of cluster bean genotypes/varieties for resistance to Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. cyamopsidis using two methods of Inoculation |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | The abstract should be recast to capture the reason of carrying out the research. After the findings in the abstract, it should be followed with a brief concluding statement of the benefits the work offers to farmers and the general populace.<br>The keywords should be separated with semi colon not comma.<br>The Introduction is scanty. Recent literature should be reviewed to boost the introduction. I advise that very old citations be reviewed to bring in more recent articles.<br>2.0 Should read Materials and Method.<br>3.0 Should read Results and Discussion<br>The conclusion should be recast to present what was done, the major findings, and the research gap/ scientific application of the research work. |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | The articles should be reviewed to correct minor grammatical errors  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | The number of days the seeds were soaked should be specified in the method section.  |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |                             |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Name:                            | Ezeh Ernest Mbamalu         |
| Department, University & Country | Caritas University, Nigeria |