Short communication

Effectof Sowing Time and Integrated Nitrogen Management on Yield and Post-harvest Soil Nutrient Statusof BlackGlutinous Maize (*Zea mays* L.)

ABSTRACT

Aim: Tostudy the effect of sowing time and integrated nitrogen management on yield and post-harvest soil nutrient status of black glutinous maize (*Zea mays* L.).

Study design: Factorial randomized block design (FRBD)

Place and Duration of Study: Experimental field of College of Agriculture, Central Agricultural University, Imphal, Manipur, India, pre-*kharif* season of 2018.

Methodology: The treatment consisted of four different integrated nitrogen management practices and three sowing dates.

Results: The result revealed that maximum cob length(16.97cm), cob girth(15.71cm), test weight(257g), grain yield(32.9 q/ha) and shelling %(80.31 %) was observed in the treatment N_3(RDN- 75% through urea + 25% through FYM) among different integrated nitrogen management practices. The highest yield among different sowing dates was recorded on plants sown on 9thApril(28.23 q/ha). The different integrated nitrogen management showed significant difference in residual nutrients and organic carbon in soil. Maximum available nitrogen (275.97 kg/ha), phosphorus (19.21 kg/ha), potassium (210.05 kg/ha) and organic carbon (1.10 %) were observed in treatment N_4 (RDN- 100% through FYM). Lowest values of nutrients under study were observed in treatment N_1 where the crop received only chemical fertilizer.

Key words: Black glutinous maize, sowing time, INM, yield, soil nutrient status.

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in the world's agricultural economy both as food for man and feed for animals. Maize has been an important cereal crop owing to its highest production potential and adaptability to wide range of environment hence called as 'Queen of Cereals' (Choudhari and Channappagouda) [1]. It can be grown in *kharif, rabi* and summer seasons. Over 85 per cent of maize production in country is consumed as a source of human feed. In Manipur, it covers an area of 26.19 thousand hectares with a production of 57.94 million tonnes and productivity of 2240 kg/ha (Anon.,) [2].

Formatted: English (United States)
Formatted: English (United States)
Formatted: English (United States)
Formatted: English (United States)
Formatted: English (United States)
Formatted: English (United States)

Local glutinous maize is popular and commonly grown cultivar in Manipur. It has unique characteristic of soft and stickiness ofkernel even though it is dried and stored for long time unlike the normal maize. Among the agro-techniques non-monetary inputs like sowing time and nutrient are the two management aspects to be considered for improving the yield of maize. Sowing at the right time will expose the maize crop to suitable weather elements required at different phenological stages resulting in better vegetative growth and yield.Highestproductivity of crops in sustainable manner without deteriorating the soil and other natural resources could be achieved only by applying appropriate combination of different organic manures and inorganic fertilizers.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was undertaken during the pre-*kharif* season of 2018 at College of Agriculture, CAU, Imphal, Manipur to study the effect of sowing time and integrated nitrogen management on yield and post-harvest soil nutrient status of black glutinous maize (*Zea mays* L.). The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design (FRBD) with 12 treatments and 3 replications. The treatment consisted of four different integrated nitrogen management treatments and three sowing dates. FYM was applied 20 days before sowing as per treatment and well incorporated to the soil.

Treatment details

(a) Sowing time: 3

S_1 – 1st March

S_2 – 20th March

S_3 – 9th April

(b) Nitrogen management: 4

N_1 – RDN (100 % through urea)

N_2 – RDN (50 % through urea + 50 % through FYM)

N_3 – RDN (75 % through urea + 25% through FYM)

N_4 – RDN (100 % through FYM)

*RDN-recommended dose of nitrogen *FYM-farm yard manure

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of sowing time and integrated nitrogen management on yield and yield contributing factors of local glutinous maize

Integration of inorganic fertilizer and FYM influenced the cob length, cob girth, test weight, grain yield and shelling %and are presented in Table 1. The mean cob length (16.97 cm), cob girth (15.71 cm) and test weight (257g) were maximum and significantly more when crop was fertilized with RDN-75% through urea + 25% through FYM (N_3). The results are in accordance with the earlier finding ofRajeshwari *et al.* [3] andJadhav [4].Owing to higher values yield attributes, the maximum grain yield(32.9 q/ha) was

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

obtained in treatment N_3. The improved physical properties like water holding capacity and moisture retention provided a desirable soil condition for the root development, enhanced crop growth and yield (Selvi*et al.*) [5]. The highest shelling % was recorded in treatment N_3 (80.31 %)and it was significantly superior to rest of the other treatments. The results are in agreement with the earlier findings of Zakkam[6].The highest mean cob length (15.5 cm) and cob girth (14.87 cm) was recorded in the plants sown on 9th April(S_3). Such variation among different sowing time was also reported by Keerthi *et al.* [7]. Sowing at different time could not bring significant effect on test weight. Among the sowing dates, it could be seen from Table 1 that though sowing on 9thApril (S_3) recorded the maximum grain yield (28.23 q/ha), however it remained at par to sowing on 20th March (S_2) but was significantly superior over sowing on 1stMarch (S_1). The variation in among different sowing time was also supported byVerma[8] and Sulochana*et al.*[9].

Figure 1. View of cobs in treatment S_3N_3

Table 1. Effect of sowing time and integrated nitrogen management on yield and yield contributing factors of local glutinous maize

Treatment	Cob length (cm)	Cob girth (cm)	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (q/ha)	Shelling %
S: Sowing time					
S_1	14.60	13.61	247.08	25.65	77.52
S_2	15.20	14.51	250.17	27.49	78.55
S_3	15.50	14.87	253.08	28.23	79.22
SE d (±)	0.23	0.35	2.31	0.38	0.48
CD (P=0.05)	0.48	0.72	NS	0.78	1.00
N management					
N_1	14.75	13.91	248.00	24.67	77.82
N_2	15.91	14.80	253.89	30.15	79.04

N_3	16.97	15.71	257.00	32.90	80.31
N_4	12.76	12.89	241.56	20.78	76.53
SE d (±)	0.27	0.40	2.67	0.44	0.56
CD (P=0.05)	0.56	0.83	5.53	0.91	1.16

3.2 Effect of sowing time and integrated nitrogen management on post-harvest nutrient status of the soil

The different integrated nitrogen management showed significant difference in residual nutrients and organic carbon in soil. Maximum available nitrogen (275.97 kg/ha), phosphorus (19.21 kg/ha), potassium (210.05 kg/ha) and organic carbon (1.10 %) were observed in treatment N_4 (RDN-100% through FYM). Lowest values of nutrients under study were observed in treatment N_1 where the crop received only chemical fertilizer. The increased in residual nutrients and organic carbon may be attributed to the contribution of nutrients from the organic pool. The benefit of organic manures on post-harvest available nutrients was also reported by Tetarwal*et al.* [10] and Ahmad *et al.*[11].Though the residual available nutrients in soil was recorded highest in 9th April sowing but different sowing dates of maize could not bring significant effect in available nutrients of soil after the harvest of the crop.

Interaction between sowing time and integrated nitrogen management could not show significant effect on the residual available nutrients and organic carbon content in the soil.

Table 2. Residual available nutrient (kg/ha) and organic carbon (%) in soil as influenced by sowing time and integrated nitrogen management

Treatments	Available N (kg/ha)	Available P_2O_5 (kg/ha)	Available K_2O (kg/ha)	Organic carbon (%)
S: Sowing time				
S_1	252.45	18.47	198.57	0.97
S_2	257.67	18.64	200.24	0.98
S_3	262.38	18.74	201.88	0.99
SE.d (±)	5.23	0.14	1.79	0.01
C.D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS
N management				
N_1	239.73	18.05	186.84	0.82
N_2	264.12	18.78	204.21	1.03
N_3	250.18	18.41	199.81	0.96
N_4	275.97	19.21	210.05	1.10
SE.d (±)	6.03	0.17	2.06	0.02
CD (P=0.05)	12.51	0.34	4.28	0.03

Formatted ... [1]
Formatted ... [2]
Formatted ... [3]
Formatted ... [4]
Formatted ... [5]
Comment [A1]: this table is not cited in the text
Formatted ... [6]
Formatted ... [7]
Formatted ... [8]
Formatted ... [9]
Formatted ... [10]
Formatted ... [11]
Formatted ... [12]
Formatted ... [13]
Formatted ... [14]
Formatted ... [15]
Formatted ... [16]
Formatted ... [17]
Formatted ... [18]
Formatted ... [19]
Formatted ... [20]
Formatted ... [21]
Formatted ... [22]
Formatted ... [23]
Formatted ... [24]
Formatted ... [25]
Formatted ... [26]
Formatted ... [27]
Formatted ... [28]
Formatted ... [29]
Formatted ... [30]
Formatted ... [31]
Formatted ... [32]
Formatted ... [33]
Formatted ... [34]
Formatted ... [35]
Formatted ... [36]
Formatted ... [37]
Formatted ... [38]
Formatted ... [39]
Formatted ... [40]
Formatted ... [41]
Formatted ... [42]
Formatted ... [43]
Formatted ... [44]
Formatted ... [45]
Formatted ... [46]
Formatted ... [47]
Formatted ... [48]
Formatted ... [49]
Formatted ... [50]
Formatted ... [51]
Formatted ... [52]
Formatted ... [53]
Formatted ... [54]
Formatted ... [55]
Formatted ... [56]
Formatted ... [57]

The manuscript lacks a scientific discussion comparing published research; therefore I suggest incorporating the following paragraphs that would improve the scientific quality of the manuscript. I continue to add a paragraph that summarizes the importance, usefulness and social relevance, contemporary of the study, specifically pointing out the Impact, Benefit and Projection, something like this (for example):

The effect of sowing time and integrated nitrogen management on yield and post-harvest soil nutrient status of black glutinous maize has been the subject of several studies. Sowing time is known to affect the yield and quality of black glutinous maize [12]. Early sowing results in higher yields and better grain quality, while late sowing can lead to lower yields and poor grain quality [13,14]. This is because early sowing allows the plant to take advantage of favourable weather conditions [15, 16, 17]and makes the most of the available sunlight, while late sowing may result in the plant maturing during the hot and dry season [18, 19, 20, 21].

Integrated nitrogen management, which includes the use of both chemical fertilizers and organic sources of nitrogen, has been shown to improve the yield and post-harvest soil nutrient status of black glutinous maize. The use of organic sources of nitrogen, such as compost or livestock manure, can help to improve soil fertility and promote the growth of microorganisms that aid in nutrient uptake by the plant [22, 23]. Chemical fertilizers, on the other hand, can provide a quick release of nitrogen, which is essential for the growth and development of the plant [24,25].

However, an excessive use of chemical fertilizers can lead to nutrient imbalance in the soil and result in poor post-harvest soil nutrient status. Therefore, an integrated nitrogen management approach that balances the use of chemical fertilizers and organic sources of nitrogen is recommended for black glutinous maize cultivation [26,27,28, 29].

In conclusion, sowing time and integrated nitrogen management are two important factors that affect the yield and post-harvest soil nutrient status of black glutinous maize. Early sowing and an integrated nitrogen management approach that balances the use of chemical fertilizers and organic sources of nitrogen are recommended for optimal crop production. Further research is needed to determine the best sowing time and integrated nitrogen management practices for black glutinous maize cultivation in specific regions of India.

4. CONCLUSION

On the basis of results obtained from the present investigation, it can be concluded that the local glutinous maize (*Zea mays* L.) responded well to application of RDN- 75% through urea + 25% through FYM in terms yield. Among the sowing time, the crop can be sown on 9th April and 20th March for

Formatted: Normal, Don't adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States), Pattern: Clear, Highlight

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Bold, Font color: Text 1, English (United States)

Formatted: Normal

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: English (United States)

obtaining better growth and higher yield of the crop. The maximum residual available soil nutrients and organic carbon was recorded from the treatment $N_4$where RDN (100% through FYM) is given.

Formatted: English (United States)

REFERENCES

I suggest adding recent references which address the issue in question in Latin American territories. Suggested citations are for genuine scientific reasons that emphasize the current topic of study in context:

Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 10 pt, Line spacing: Double

1. Choudhari VV, Channappagouda BB. Effect of organics on morpho-physiological traits and grain yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). The Bioscan. 2015;10(1): 339-341.
2. Anonymous. Department of Agriculture, Manipur. 2016-17.
3. Rajeshwari RS, Hebsur NS, Pradeep HM, Bharamagoudar TD. Effect of integrated nitrogen management on growth and yield of maize. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci. 2007;20(2):399-400.
4. Jadhav K.L. Effect of integrated nitrogen management on growth and seed yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Doctoral dissertation. MPKV, Rahuri; 2010.
5. Selvi D, Santhy P, Dhakshinamoorthy M. Effect of inorganics alone and in combination with farm yard manure on physical properties and productivity of verticHaplustepts under long term fertilization. J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 2005;53(3):302-307.
6. Zakkam M. Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to planting densities and nitrogen levels under late rabi conditions. Doctoral dissertation, Acharya Ng Ranga Agric. University; 2011.
7. Keerthi P, Reddy GP, Sunitha N. Effect of sowing time on growth and yield of sweet corn cultivars. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017;6(4):777-782.
8. Verma NK. Integrated nutrient management in winter maize (*Zea mays* L.) sown at different dates. J. of Plant Breeding and Crop Sci. 2013;3(8):161-167.
9. Sulochana NS, Dhewa JS, Bajia R. Effect of sowing dates on growth, phenology and agro meteorological indices for maize varieties. Bioscan. 2015;10(3):1339-1343.
10. Tetarwal JP, Ram B, Meena DS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on productivity, profitability, nutrient uptake and soil fertility in rainfed maize (*Zea mays*).Indian J. of Agron. 2011;56(4):373-376.
11. Ahmad W, Shah Z, Khan F, Ali S, Malik W. Maize yield and soil properties as influenced by integrated use of organic, inorganic and bio-fertilizers in a low fertility soil. Soil & Environment. 2013;32(2).
12. Hernández, R; Pereira, Y; Molina, JC; Coelho, R; Olivares, B y Rodríguez, K. Calendario de siembra para las zonas agrícolas del estado Carabobo en la República Bolivariana de Venezuela. Sevilla, Spain, Editorial Universidad Internacional de Andalucía. 2017;247 p.
13. Olivares B, Hernández R, Arias A, Molina JC, Pereira Y. Zonificaciónagroclimática del cultivo de maíz para la sostenibilidad de la producciónagrícolaen Carabobo, Venezuela. RevistaUniversitaria de Geografía. 2018; 27 (2): 139-159. https://n9.cl/i0upn
14. Olivares, B., Hernández, R. Application of multivariate techniques in the agricultural land's aptitude in Carabobo, Venezuela. Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems, 2020;23(2):1-12. https://n9.cl/zeedh
15. Olivares B. Tropical conditions of seasonal rain in the dry-land agriculture of Carabobo, Venezuela. La Granja: Journal of Life Sciences. 2018; 27(1):86-102. http://doi.org/10.17163/lgr.n27.2018.07

Formatted: Font: English (United States)

16. Olivares B, Parra R, Cortez, A. Characterization of precipitation patterns in Anzoátegui state, Venezuela. Ería. 2017; 3 (3): 353-365. https://doi.org/10.17811/er.3.2017.353-365

17. Olivares B, Cortez A, Parra R, Lobo D, Rodríguez M.F, Rey JC. Evaluation of agricultural vulnerability to drought weather in different locations of Venezuela. Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ) 2017; 34 (1): 103-129. https://n9.cl/d827w

18. Olivares B, Hernández, R; Coelho, R., Molina, JC., Pereira, Y. Análisisespacial del índicehídrico: un avanceen la adopción de decisionessosteniblesenlosterritoriosagrícolas de Carabobo, Venezuela. RevistaGeográfica de América Central. 2018; 60 (1): 277-299. https://doi.org/10.15359/rgac.60-1.10

19. Olivares, B., Hernández, R; Coelho, R., Molina, JC., Pereira, Y. Analysis of climate types: Main strategies for sustainable decisions in agricultural areas of Carabobo, Venezuela. Scientia Agropecuaria. 2018;9(3): 359 – 369. https://doi.org/10.17268/sci.agropecu.2018.03.07

20. Rodríguez, M.F., Cortez, A., Olivares, B., Rey, J.C, Parra, R. y Lobo, D.Análisisespacio temporal de la precipitación del estado Anzoátegui y sus alrededores. Agronomía Tropical 2013;63 (1-2): 57-65.

21. Olivares, B. Zingaretti, ML. Análisis de la seguíameteorológicaen cuatro localidadesagrícolas de Venezuela mediante la combinación de métodosmultivariados. UNED Research Journal. 2018; 10 (1):181-192. http://dx.doi.org/10.22458/urj.v10i1.2026

22. Bertorelli M, Olivares B.O. Population fluctuation of Spodoptera frugiperda (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in sorghum cultivation in Southern Anzoategui, Venezuela. Journal of Agriculture University of Puerto Rico. 2020; 104(1):1-16. https://doi.org/10.46429/jaupr.v104i1.18283

23. Olivares, B.O.; Rey, J.C.; Perichi, G.; Lobo, D. Relationship of Microbial Activity with Soil Properties in Banana Plantations in Venezuela. Sustainability 2022; 14, 13531. https://doi.org/10.3390/su142013531

24. Olivares, B., Araya-Alman, M., Acevedo-Opazo, C. et al. Relationship Between Soil Properties and Banana Productivity in the Two Main Cultivation Areas in Venezuela. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr.2020;20 (3): 2512-2524. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-020-00317-8

25. Olivares, B.O., Calero, J., Rey, J.C., Lobo, D., Landa, B.B., Gómez, J. A. Correlation of banana productivity levels and soil morphological properties using regularized optimal scaling regression. Catena, 2022;208: 105718. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105718

26. Olivares B. Description of soil management in agricultural production systems of sector Hammock in Anzoátegui, Venezuela. La Granja: Revista de Ciencias de la Vida. 2016; 23(1): 14–24. https://doi.org/10.17163/lgr.n23.2016.02

27. Olivares, B.; Hernandez, R.; Arias, A; Molina, JC., Pereira, Y. Eco-territorial adaptability of tomato crops for sustainable agricultural production in Carabobo, Venezuela.Idesia, 2020;38(2):95-102.http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-34292020000200095

28. Olivares, B., Hernández, R. Ecoterritorial sectorization for the sustainable agricultural production of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in Carabobo, Venezuela. Agricultural Science and Technology. 2019;20(2): 339-354. https://doi.org/10.21930/rcta.vol20_num2_art:1462

29. Olivares, B., Lobo, D., Cortez, A., Rodríguez, M.F. Rey, J.C. Socio-economic characteristics and methods of agricultural production of indigenous community Kashaama, Anzoategui, Venezuela. Rev. Fac. Agron. (LUZ) 2017; 34 (2): 187-215. https://n9.cl/p2qc5

Formatted: Font: English (United States)
Formatted: Font: English (United States)
Formatted: Font: English (United States)
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Not Bold, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, Not Bold, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: English (United States)
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, No underline, Font color: Auto, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: No underline, Font color: Auto, English (United States)
Formatted: English (United States)
Formatted: Font: Arial, Not Bold, No underline, Font color: Auto, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: Arial, No underline, Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font: Arial, Not Bold, No underline, Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font: Arial, No underline, Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font: Arial, No underline, Font color: Auto, English (United States)
Formatted: No underline, Font color: Auto, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: Arial, No underline, Font color: Auto
Formatted: Font: Arial, No underline, Font color: Auto
Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering
Formatted: No underline, Font color: Auto, English (United States)
Formatted: Font: Italic, No underline, Font color: Auto, English (United States)
Formatted: No underline, Font color: Auto, English (United States)
Formatted: No underline, Font color: Auto, English (United States)
Field Code Changed
Formatted ... [70]
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt
Formatted ... [71]
Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt
Formatted ... [72]

~~12.~~

Formatted: English (United States)

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

Page 4: [1] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [2] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [3] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [4] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [5] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [6] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [7] Formatted **Autor**

Font color: Auto

Page 4: [8] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [8] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [9] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [9] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [10] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [10] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [11] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [11] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [12] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [12] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [13] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [13] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [14] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [14] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [15] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [15] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [16] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [16] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [17] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [17] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [18] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [18] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [19] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [19] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [20] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [20] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [21] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [21] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [22] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [22] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [23] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [23] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [24] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [24] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [25] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [25] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [26] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [26] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [27] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [27] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [28] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [28] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [29] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [29] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [30] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [30] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [31] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [31] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [32] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [32] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [33] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [33] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [34] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [34] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [35] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [35] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [36] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [36] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [37] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [37] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [38] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [38] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [39] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [39] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [40] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [40] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [41] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [41] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [42] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [42] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [43] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [43] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [44] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [44] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [45] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [45] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [46] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [46] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [47] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [47] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [48] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [48] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [49] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [49] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [50] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [50] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [51] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [51] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [52] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [52] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [53] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [53] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [54] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [54] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [55] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [55] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [56] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [56] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [57] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [57] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [58] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [58] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [59] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [59] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [60] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [60] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [61] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [61] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [62] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [62] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [63] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [63] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [64] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [64] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [65] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [65] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [66] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [66] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [67] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [67] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [68] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [68] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 4: [69] Formatted **Autor**

English (United States)

Page 7: [70] Formatted **Autor**

Hyperlink, Font: (Default) +Body, 11 pt, No underline, Font color: Auto, English (United States)

Page 7: [71] Formatted **Autor**

Left, Indent: Left: 0.5", Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li, No bullets or numbering

Page 7: [72] Formatted **Autor**

Left, Indent: Left: 0.5", Line spacing: Multiple 1.15 li, No bullets or numbering