

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_96127
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of rice residue management practices on growth and productivity of wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, its good finding for the researcher and farmers who specially concern with wheat cultivation using rice residue to minimize fertilizer load Yes Frame it according to journals standard like: Aim, Study design, Place and Duration of Study, Methodology, Results and conclusion No, make it according to journal guideline Yes Yes Needs to correct the scientific name mentioned in the reference in Italic format and correct was word with were when its necessary as i found it many sentence. Additionally add the abbreviation, Acknowledgments, Competing Interests, AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS, CONSENT (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE), ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Write the title in 11 font size and content in 10 font size as it is differ in this article ➤ Correct as per the Comments in the Article 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rabari Kiranbhai Vashrambhai
Department, University & Country	S. D. Agricultural University, India