

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_96078
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphological characterisation associated with genetic analysis in growth attributes of leaf and culm sheath of Bambusa vulgaris Schrad ex. Wendl.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's defined, comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Could be shortened. Perhaps "Variation in Morphological Characteristics of Bambusa vulgaris in India"</p> <p>3. Needs to be reorganized, acronyms defined, and edited.</p> <p>4. In Section 3, present results before discussing them with respect to literature.</p> <p>5. "Genetic analyses" are not from the same environment. 3 culms were measured per CPC? How selected?</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Much editing needed, as is need to improve English.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The considerable quantification done for this ms. can be greatly enhanced by a major revision. Define acronyms before first use. Check subject-verb, punctuation, and spelling. Remove unnecessary phrases. Provide formulae for statistics. Limit CVs to nearest .1 only. Use consistent format for references.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Donald Rockwood</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Florida, USA</b>