

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_96060
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF MICRONUTRIENT CONTENT AND STRATEGIES FOR IMPROVEMENT OF MICRONUTRIENT STATUS IN THE SOILS OF BHANDARA TEHSIL, MAHARASHTRA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p style="color: red;">The subject of the study is current and quite relevant. Similar studies should be encouraged.</p> <p style="color: red;">-The title and abstract of the article are adequate However, some points of the article should improve, they are: - The references are outdated and a review of the current literature should be carried out. - The results found should be discussed based on the current scientific literature, not just presenting values. - The conclusions must also be improved and must be based on the values found and compared to the values in the literature.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, is it suitable for communication	
Optional/General comments	The text must be improved before being published	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Willames de Albuquerque Soares
Department, University & Country	University of Pernambuco, Brasil