

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_96021
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Mid-late Sugarcane clones in AICRP(S) Trials for Quality traits and Red rot resistance
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is good for select best genotype of sugar cane in India. 2. The title is suitable. 3. Abstract should be filling more data in numeric of best clone in abstract. 4. The manuscript structure is appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of article is suitable.	
Optional/General comments	1. Please check the data of sucrose in research. In this result showed clone CoA 14323 had highest of sucrose per cent at 16.10 2. Describe more about sugarcane leave character after inoculated spores of pathogen at the harvest time 3. Please conclude or discussion more about the clone CoA 14323 and CoC14337 are optimize for plant crop in east zone of India?	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Punika Chaisemaeng
Department, University & Country	Kalasin University, Thailand