

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_95927</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>QUALITY OF BREAD WHEAT (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) AS INFLUENCED BY DIFFERENT NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT APPROACHES UNDER NORTHERN TRANSITIONAL ZONE OF KARNATAKA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> <b><u>ABSTRACT</u></b>  -Page no.1 Line no.2 write laid out instead of layout -Page no.1 Line no.4 write onto instead of of -Page no.1 Line no.5 write of instead of at -Page no.1 Line no.5 write practices instead of practice <b><u>1. INTRODUCTION</u></b> -Page no.1 Line no.8 write of instead of at -Page no.1 Line no.10 write hectares instead of hectare -Page no.1 Line no.11 write hectares instead of hectare -Page no.2 Line no.2 write to bake instead of baking -Page no.2 Line no.13 write is instead of are -Page no.2 Line no.13 write are instead of is -Page no.2 Line no.14 write to instead of with <b><u>2. MATERIAL AND METHODS</u></b> -Page no.3 Line no.5 write of instead of in -Page no.3 Line no.6 write on instead of in -Page no.3 Line no.7 write values instead of value -Page no.3 Line no.9 write washers instead of washer <b><u>3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</u></b> <b><u>3.1 Crude protein content:</u></b> -Page no.4 Line no.1 write of instead of in -Page no.4 Line no.3 write of instead of at -Page no.4 Line no.3 write approaches instead of approach -Page no.4 Line no.4 write on a par with instead of on par with -Page no.4 Line no.6 write of instead of in -Page no.4 Line no.6 write of instead of during -Page no.4 Line no.9 write of instead of in -Page no.4 Line no.9 write of instead of in -Page no.4 Line no.11 write with instead of in -Page no.4 Line no.12 write of instead of in	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
--	--	--

Review Form 1.7

	<p>-Page no.4 Line no.12 write of instead of to</p> <p>-Page no.4 Line no.15 write contents instead of content</p> <p>-Page no.4 Line no.15 write of instead of in</p> <p>-Page no.4 Line no.15 write of instead of under</p> <p>-Page no.4 Line no.16 write to instead of of</p> <p><b><u>3.2 Gluten content:</u></b></p> <p>-Page no.5 Line no.10 write of instead of in</p> <p>-Page no.5 Line no.10 write flours instead of flour</p> <p>-Page no.5 Line no.11 write of instead of in</p> <p>-Page no. 5 Line no.13 write indexes instead of index</p> <p>-Page no.5 Line no.13 write of instead of during</p> <p>-Page no.5 Line no.15 write of instead of in</p> <p>-Page no.5 Line no.15 write of instead of in</p> <p>-Page no.6 Line no.4 write soils instead of soil</p> <p><b><u>3.3 Sedimentation value:</u></b></p> <p>-Page no.6 Line no.1 write Sedimentation instead of Ssdimentation</p> <p>-Page no.6 Line no.1 write values instead of value</p> <p>-Page no.6 Line no.4 write of instead of at</p> <p>-Page no.6 Line no.5 write on instead of of</p> <p>-Page no.6 Line no.7 write values instead of value</p> <p><b><u>3.4 Yellow pigment:</u></b></p> <p>-Page no.8 Line no.3 write for instead of with</p> <p>-Page no.8 Line no.4 write on a par with instead of on par with</p> <p>-Page no.8 Line no.4 write of instead of at</p> <p>-Page no.8 Line no.6 write of instead of during</p> <p><b><u>4.CONCLUSION</u></b></p> <p>-Page no.9 Line no.1 write approaches instead of approach</p> <p>-Page no.9 Line no.3 write soil to test instead of soil test</p> <p>-Page no.9 Line no.3 write soil to test instead of soil test</p>	
--	--	--

## Review Form 1.7

<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Wheat is an important source of carbohydrates. Globally, it is the leading source of vegetable protein in human food. These results lead to significantly improved protein content, gluten content, sedimentation value and yellow pigment in bread wheat over, This leads to the production of large quantities of wheat of high quality.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Yes</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Yes</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Yes</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Yes</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Yes</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Esmat Anwar Abou- Arab
Department, University & Country	National Research Center, Egypt