

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_95508
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study of Evapotranspiration Calculated from Remote Sensing and Meteorological Data for Gaya District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The subject of the study is current and quite relevant. Similar studies should be encouraged.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The title and the abstract of the article are appropriate - References are in accordance with a literature review. However, current references can be presented. <p>A map, from the world, highlighting the location would help to locate where the study was carried out, especially for readers from other countries.</p> <p>-The methodology should be better detailed. Introducing the inclusion and exclusion criteria that were adopted for the composition of the literature review. Identify the databases that were used.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, is it suitable for communication	
Optional/General comments	<p>The article is interesting and contributes significantly to the knowledge of potential evapotranspiration by the vegetation and moisture coefficient yielded the satellite-estimated evapotranspiration.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Willames de Albuquerque Soares
Department, University & Country	University of Pernambuco, Brasil