

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Pathogen Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPR_96113</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Spécific diversity and physiological characterization of Fusarium spp. isolates causing Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.)Fusariosis (Far-North, Cameroon).</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpr.com/index.php/IJPR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<b>The manuscript is important for the scientific community</b>	
2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Adjustment on the title is necessary</b>	
3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	<b>Abstract not very comprehensive. The introduction, methods, results and conclusion in the abstract was poorly written.</b>	
4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes, it is scientifically correct</b>	
5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>	<b>In the methodology, I suggest additional references backing up the methods adopted.</b>	
6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>The researcher should be concise and treat every section properly.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English language is suitable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Robinson. Victor K</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rivers State University, Nigeria</b>