

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_97098</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Study on Physical Properties of Minor Millets</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Title is suitable</li> <li>The abstract of the article is comprehensive</li> <li>Structure of the manuscript is appropriate but need some addition in introduction and result discussion section</li> <li>Manuscript is scientifically correct</li> <li>References are sufficient but not recent, add recent reference</li> <li>If possible, add more properties, including size, angle of repose, true density, porosity any many other. refer following article <b>Effect of moisture content on engineering properties of black gram (Vigna mungo)</b> <a href="https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&amp;hl=en&amp;user=EXx0mc4AAAAJ&amp;citation_for_view=EXx0mc4AAAAJ:WF5omc3nYNoC">https://scholar.google.com/citations?view_op=view_citation&amp;hl=en&amp;user=EXx0mc4AAAAJ&amp;citation_for_view=EXx0mc4AAAAJ:WF5omc3nYNoC</a>.</li> <li>The motivation of the paper is not sufficiently justified in the introduction. It should be extended to justify the importance of the study. To improve the introduction section and other sections. Mention the research gap.</li> <li>The detailed information on the instruments used must be given including the model, manufacturer, name of company, etc.</li> <li>The results have been well represented but the discussion needs to be improved (i.e. comparison to other works and citations).</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	There are some of grammatical errors all over the manuscript. You need to review your English.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	It is useful work and have related practical applications	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ganesh Namdev Shelke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, India</b>