

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96944
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on irrigation scheduling, moisture conservation practices and nutrient management on performance of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Wheat is an important crop for its main role as human food in most countries of the world. Crop residues are often used as animal feed. Therefore, the best methods must be chosen to increase productivity and improve quality. This manuscript is important because it is under consideration for studies of irrigation scheduling, moisture conservation practices, and nutrient management on the performance of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The title is good and consistent with the content • The research is good in terms of the introduction. It is excellent. The materials, methods of work, results and discussion are good. Additional recent sources are preferred <p>The summary is very good</p> <p>Review the numbers in the text and tables and make sure they are accurate</p> <p>The number of sources used is very good, but they are outdated. Please add other sources and focus on recent ones</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Salam Thanoon Khaleel Alabar
Department, University & Country	Iraq