

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_96793</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Combined Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphatic fertilizer on the activity of the Nitrate reductase enzyme in different wheat cultivars</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, it has some importance w.r.t the factors effecting nitrate reductase activity</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>No</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes but it needs be present in a better way</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English quality is fine but there are grammatical errors at many places The last sentence of the abstract is written twice Keywords are not appropriate (demonstrated can't be used as keyword) The authors need to read the manuscript thoroughly and correct the punctuation errors wherever applicable. At some places "and" is missing. Wherever its written KNO<sub>2</sub>, 2 should be in subscript, at many places spacing between words is not appropriate. In addition to the above mentioned examples, corrections are required at many places in the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In introduction, at some places rearrangement of sentences are required. The importance of nitrogen and then phosphorus as nutrient for plant growth and productivity should be discussed separately. Author should avoid repetitions</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Renu Baweja</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Delhi, India</b>