

# Original Research Article

## Combined Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on the activity of the Nitrate reductase enzyme in different wheat cultivars

### ABSTRACT

Some characteristics of nitrogen metabolism were observed in the flag leaf on the main branch of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. and *Triticum durum* L.) In the current study, two wheat varieties (*T. aestivum* and *T. durum*), out of nine varieties were chosen and planted as (delete 'a') test crop using the split plot design, and replicated three times, with nutrient dose as the main plot and varieties as the sub plot treatment cultivated at three different soil nitrogen and phosphorus levels (0, 60, and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen) and (0, 30, and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> phosphorus). These nitrogen and phosphorus levels were handled using four different treatments, where T1 served as the control, T2 as the nitrogen and phosphorus dose at its optimal level, and T3 as the half-nitrogen and full-phosphate fertilizer doses. Fertilizer dosages of T4 that were half nitrogen and half phosphorus were also studied. Flag leaf blades were seen to be engaged in NO<sub>3</sub> assimilation. The flag leaf blade had the highest nitrate reductase activity, free amino acid content, and soluble protein content among all the leaf blades. The activity of nitrate reductase was markedly increased by the addition of nitrogen to the soil. The presence of substrate-dependent enzyme activity was demonstrated in the flag leaf tissues. A coincidental association between enzyme activity and the buildup of reduced nitrogen in the plant was shown through the rise in nitrate reductase activity in response to more nitrogen and the increased vegetative reduced nitrogen. A substantial positive connection was discovered between nitrate reductase activity (expressed as moles N ha<sup>-1</sup> each season) and grain nitrogen (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) at maturity because the transfer of vegetative nitrogen to the grains was homogeneous across treatments. Additionally, there was a strong and positive correlation between seasonal nitrate reductase and grain yields (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). In cultivars resistant to lodging, maintaining nitrate reductase activity during the reproductive period might boost grain protein production and avoid the decrease of grain protein percentage that is usually seen when grain yields are high. In cultivars resistant to lodging, maintaining nitrate reductase activity during the reproductive period might boost grain protein production and avoid the decrease of grain protein percentage that is usually seen when grain yields are high.

**Key words:** Wheat cultivars, Metabolism of the N and P nutrients, Nitrate reductase enzyme activity

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen is the most critical nutrient that plants get from the soil in terms of quantity. (Lonhienne *et al.*, [17]) Plant roots have long been known to take up nitrogen molecules with (delete 'a') low molecular mass, such as ammonium, nitrate, and amino acids. In natural ecosystems, however, nitrogen is mostly found as proteins in the soil. This complex organic form of nitrogen was thought to be unavailable to plants directly. Although roughly 80% of the nitrogen in the atmosphere is di nitrogen (nitrogen gas, N<sub>2</sub>), most living organisms cannot use this form of the element and needs to be converted into the useful form, such as ammonia. (Bano and Iqbal [3]), opined that Leguminous

42 legumes' ability to fix di nitrogen into useful reactive nitrogen molecules has traditionally been utilized  
43 by humans in boosting soil fertility. However, the amount of reactive nitrogen produced in this manner  
44 is currently minimal as compared to that produced in the industrial sector. Nitrogen compounds  
45 released into the environment by human activities, along with nitrogen oxides, another kind of reactive  
46 nitrogen created as a by-product of combustion processes, are creating a web of unintended  
47 consequences (could insert a Reference here [?]).

48 The majority of nitrogen in the biosphere is in the form of  $N_2$  in the atmosphere, which is  
49 useless to most plant species until it is "fixed" biologically or abiotically (by lightning or aurorae, or  
50 industrially). It is usually either absorbed and converted into biological N or nitrified into  $NO_3$  once it is  
51 fixed into  $NH_3$ . Ammonification is a process that converts organic nitrogen (N) back into  $NH_3$ . Nitrate  
52 can be transformed to  $N_2O$  and  $N_2$  through nitrification and denitrification, respectively. As a result of  
53 this  $N_2O$  and  $N_2$  production, ecosystems lose nitrogen while the atmospheric nitrogen store gains  
54 nitrogen.

55 Phosphorus (P), like nitrogen (N), is an important nutrient for plant growth and productivity. Its content  
56 in plants ranges from 0.05 to 0.5 percent of the total dry weight of the plant. Despite the fact that the  
57 concentration of P in soil is 2000 times higher than in plants, its fixation in the form of aluminium/iron or  
58 calcium/magnesium phosphates prevents plants from absorbing it (Malhotra *et al.* [11]). Phosphorus is  
59 involved in a variety of biological functions, including membrane structure maintenance, biomolecule  
60 synthesis, and the production of high-energy molecules. Nitrogen is the fourth most prevalent element  
61 in living creatures, and it is utilized to manufacture essential biological components including amino  
62 acids and nucleic acids (Luo *et al.*, [10]).

63  
64 Proteins, Rubisco, nucleic acids, and chlorophyll all contain nitrogen as a structural component. N  
65 fertilisation plays an important agronomic management strategy for increasing crop productivity  
66 (Astaneh *et al.*, [2]). The supply of N in plants has a significant impact on the functional activity of the  
67 photosynthetic apparatus in leaves. Furthermore, it has been observed that effective N feeding has the  
68 capacity to mitigate drought stress effects by maintaining metabolic processes even at low tissue water  
69 potential (Abid *et al.*, [1]). Excess nitrogen allows many plants to grow aggressively with dark green  
70 coloured lush growth, but it also causes developmental alterations and may alter the biology of plants  
71 such as a longer vegetative phase, delayed maturity, a longer plant life cycle, and enhanced  
72 succulence (Dietz, *et al.*, [5]).

73 Phosphorus (P) is a structural component of major bio molecules such as nucleic acids, sugar  
74 phosphates, adenosine triphosphates, and phospholipids, and is a non-substitutable, essential element  
75 for plant growth. Phosphorus (P) is one of the most important nutrients for plant growth and survival. It  
76 is essential for cellular bioenergetics and metabolic pathways within the plant body. The primary  
77 function of mineral fertilizers is to increase crop yield (could delete 's'), but the biggest impediment to  
78 realize known crop potential is the low or none use of fertilizers, notably P and N (Irfan *et al.*, [9]).

79  
80 In agricultural systems, the application of P to the soil is required to ensure plant productivity  
81 (Simpson *et al.*, [15]). Seed P reserves are rapidly mobilized and translocated to emerging root and  
82 shoot tissues after germination, as it is the sole P source available to sustain seedling growth. This P  
83 supply is then replenished by P uptake by the root system as it develops (Julia *et al.*, [7]). When root P  
84 acquisition is insufficient to meet the P requirement for new growth, biochemical, physiological, and  
85 morphological responses occur to improve tissue P economy and increase soil P acquisition (White *et al.*, [17]). Local and systemic signals involving gibberellins, auxin, cytokinins, ethylene, and strigalactones, as well as the translocation of regulatory mRNAs and excess sucrose from the shoot to the root in the phloem, co-ordinate many of the responses of root tissues to P deprivation. Increased

89 sucrose availability to the root, in particular, has been linked to increased P-uptake capability in P-  
90 deficient plants.

91 The amount of nitrogen in a plant's tissues determines its growth. According to the N  
92 productivity idea, plants that develop in N-rich environments have higher internal N concentrations and  
93 a higher relative growth rate. Limited N supply causes low shoot growth, a high root–shoot ratio, and  
94 decreased leaf growth in plants. As the leaves age, more nitrogen is assigned to the highest leaves,  
95 where there is a greater demand for photosynthetic enzymes and chlorophyll, and it is mobilized **into**  
96 **the** seeds (Pilbeam [13]).

97 Phosphorus is the second most commonly limiting macronutrient for plant growth, behind N.  
98 **Phosphorus** is a key plant macronutrient that accounts for around 0.2 percent of the dry weight of a  
99 plant. Phosphorus is a component of important compounds including nucleic acids, phospholipids, ATP  
100 **and** plants cannot thrive without a consistent supply of this nutrient. **Phosphorus** is also involved in the  
101 regulation of metabolic pathways and the control of important enzyme processes (Zhang *et al.*, [20]).  
102 Kaur *et al.*, [8]) reported that the activities of nitrate reductase, nitrite reductase, glutamine synthetase,  
103 glutamate synthase, and glutamate dehydrogenase all increased as the nitrogen rate **was** increased,  
104 resulting in a rise in **the** protein and amino acid contents in all **the** wheat genotypes. The amount of  
105 nitrogen and chlorophyll in the leaves decreased as the leaves grew. It was also shown that nitrogen  
106 assimilatory enzymes (nitrate reductase and glutamine synthetase) have a positive association with  
107 **can give full name of NUE (NUE)** and nitrogen contents, suggesting that these enzymes may be the  
108 rate limiting enzymes in nitrogen metabolism. The nitrogen-efficient genotypes could be used to boost  
109 wheat crop output while using less nitrogen, saving the environmental **gas usage** and money.

## 110 2. Material and methods

111 The field study was carried out at the ICAR-IISS research farm in Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh,  
112 during the rabi season of 2020–21. It is classified as semi-arid and subtropical and has scorching  
113 summers and frigid winters. The Vindhyan Plateau Agroclimatic Zone includes Bhopal. About 1100 mm  
114 of rainfall falls on average each year, with the majority falling between July and September during the  
115 monsoon season. The average maximum summer temperature is 35–40°C, while the average winter  
116 minimum is 2–9°C. There are 36 plots in a block (9 variety x 4 fertiliser N and P treatments). Each plot  
117 is 2 m x 2 m in size.

118 The reduction of nitrate to nitrite was assayed *in vitro* by incubating the enzyme extract with  
119 KNO<sub>3</sub> in the presence of an electron donor (NADH). Nitrite was diazotized with sulphanilamide and  
120 then reacted with N-(1-naphthyl) ethylene diamine dihydrochloride (NEDD) to produce an azo dye  
121 which was measured spectro photometrically at 540nm (Nicholas and Nason [12]). The 0.2 g leaf  
122 sample was taken **and put into a** 0.2 M phosphate buffer solution and 0.2M KNO<sub>3</sub> (3 ML). The samples  
123 were kept in dark chamber for 1 hour for incubation of reaction. The reaction was terminated at 100 °C  
124 boiling water **point**. Aliquot (0.5 ml) was taken to which 1 ml of 1N sulphanilamide and 1ml of 0.02%  
125 NEDD was added, **and** the volume was made up to 6 ml. The absorbance of the resultant solution  
126 (Pink colour) was measured against the blank at 540 nm wavelength. For the formation of standard  
127 curve potassium nitrite solution (0.01M) was formed with series of test tubes. The standard curve was  
128 prepared with diluted KNO<sub>2</sub> solution with series of test tubes, **and made** up the volume in each to 2 ml  
129 with water and **processed** it. The enzyme activity was expressed as **µ mol** KNO<sub>2</sub> /h / g fresh weight.  
130 The sampling for NRA was done at 50, **65 and 85** DAS in the morning hours.

131 **Table .1 Details of experiment**

1.	Design of experiment	Split plot design
2.	No. of replications	3

3.	No. of treatments (plots)	36	132
	Main plot (Fertilizer nutrient levels)	4	
	Sub plot (Varieties)	9	133
4.	Net plot size (delete:)	2m x 2m	
5.	Gross plot size	2.5m x 2.5 m	
6.	Row spacing	22.5 cm	134
7.	No. of rows per plot	9	
8.	Crop	Wheat	135
9.	Plant population per plot	190	
10.	Seed rate	100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	136
11.	Fertilizer dose (RDF)	120-60-40 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> of N, P and K	
12.	Date of sowing	25 November 2020	137

138

139

140

### 141 **Nine varieties of wheat**

142 V<sub>1</sub> to V<sub>9</sub>=HI8663, HI8737, HI8713, HI1563, HI1544, HI1531, GW366, LOK1, NARMADA14

143 Recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) @120:60:40 kg/ha of N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O were supplied through  
 144 Urea, SSP and MOP, respectively. Fifty percent (50%) of N, 100% of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 100% of K<sub>2</sub>O of the  
 145 respective treatments were applied as basal and rest 50% of the N dose was applied in two top  
 146 dressings at 22 DAS and 45 DAS. The remaining N was top dressed in equal splits at 25 and 45 DAS,  
 147 whereas 50% of the applied N was delivered as basal.

148

### 149 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

#### 150 **Nitrate reductase activity (NRA)**


151 At 50 DAS, there were non-significant differences in NRA observed between varieties of wheat and  
 152 fertilizer treatments. The range of NRA was found in between 0.22(Naramada14) to 2.43 μmole KNO<sub>2</sub>  
 153 /h / gfrwt(GW366) among all the treatments (Table 1 & Fig. 1). The mean NRA was higher in Normal  
 154 dose treatment followed by reduced phosphatic fertilizer dose treatment, reduced nitrogen dose  
 155 fertilizer treatment and lower in control plots. Among all the treatments, the highest magnitude of NRA  
 156 was observed in GW366 (2.43 μmole KNO<sub>2</sub> /h / g ) followed by HI8663(2.17 μmole KNO<sub>2</sub> /h / g ) in  
 157 normal Dose fertilizer treatment and lower NRA was observed in NARMADA14 (0.22 μmole KNO<sub>2</sub> /h /  
 158 g) (Table 1 & Fig.1).

159 At 65 DAS, the range of NRA was found in between 0.41(LOK1) to 1.73 μmole KNO<sub>2</sub> /h / g (HI1544)  
 160 among all the treatments. The mean NRA was higher in Normal dose treatment followed by reduced  
 161 phosphatic fertilizer dose treatment and reduced nitrogen dose fertilizer treatment and lower in control  
 162 plots (Table 1 & Fig. 2).. Among the varieties grown in full dose of N & P treatment, the highest NRA  
 163 was found in HI1544 (1.41 μmole KNO<sub>2</sub> /h / g) and the lowest NRA was found in HI8713 (0.43 μmole  
 164 KNO<sub>2</sub>/h / g).Among the varieties grown in half dose of N fertilizer treatment the highest NRA was  
 165 observed in HI1544 (1.54 μmole KNO<sub>2</sub> /h / g) followed by HI1531 (1.44 μmole KNO<sub>2</sub> /h / g) and among

166 the varieties grown in half dose of P, the highest NRA was found in HI1544 (1.73  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ )  
 167 followed by HI8713(1.28  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ ). Among all the treatments, the highest NRA was observed  
 168 in HI1544 and lowest NRA was observed in Lok1 (0.41  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ ) in reduced phosphorus  
 169 dose fertilizer (Table 1 & Fig. 2).


170 At 85 DAS, there were significant differences in NRA observed between fertilizer treatment and  
 171 varieties. The range of NRA was found in between 0.15(GW366) to 1.78  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$  (HI8713)  
 172 among all the treatments (Table 1 & Fig. 3). The mean NRA was higher in Normal dose treatment  
 173 followed by reduced phosphatic fertilizer dose treatment, reduced nitrogen dose fertilizer treatment and  
 174 lower in control plots. Among the varieties grown in full dose of N & P treatment, the highest NRA was  
 175 found in Narmada14 (1.57  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ ) and the lowest NRA was found in HI1531 (0.33  $\mu\text{mole}$   
 176  $\text{KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ ). Among the varieties grown in half dose of N fertilizer treatment the highest NRA was  
 177 observed in HI1563 (1.28  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ ) followed by HI1544 (1.16  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ ) and among  
 178 the varieties grown in half dose of P, the highest NRA was found in HI8713 (1.78  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ )  
 179 followed by HI1544(1.42  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ ). Among all the treatments, the highest NRA was observed  
 180 in HI8713 (1.78  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ ) grown in reduced P dose and lower NRA was observed in GW366  
 181 (0.15  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ ) grown in control plots. Across all nutrient treatment leaf area in selected  
 182 wheat varieties followed the following trends:-

183 HI8713 > LOK1 > HI8663 > HI1544 > HI8737 > NARMADA 14 > HI1563 > HI1531 > GW366 (Table 1 &  
 184 Fig 3



185 ).  
 186 Figure : 1 Effect of N & P on NRA activity in leaf of wheat genotypes at 50 DAS

187  
 188  
 189  
 190  
 191  
 192



192

NRA ( $\mu\text{mol KNO}_2$  /h / g)

193

194

195


196

197

Fig. 2 Effect of N & P on NRA activity in leaf of wheat genotypes at 65 DAS

200

201



202

Fig. 3 Effect of N & P on NRA activity in leaf of wheat genotypes at 85 DAS

203

204

205

**Table 2 Effect of N & P on nitrate reductase enzyme activity of wheat genotype at 50, 65 and 85 DAS**

	50 DAS					65 DAS					85 DAS				
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	Mean A	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	Mean A	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	Mean A
<b>HI8663</b>	0.90	2.17	0.82	1.34	1.31	0.64	0.86	0.93	0.64	0.77	1.03	0.87	0.41	1.51	0.95
<b>HI8737</b>	1.51	0.67	0.44	1.13	0.93	0.49	1.05	0.67	0.59	0.70	1.01	1.01	0.75	0.94	0.93
<b>HI8713</b>	0.50	0.66	0.88	1.26	0.82	0.48	0.43	0.29	1.28	0.62	1.32	1.38	0.83	1.78	1.33
<b>HI1563,</b>	0.63	1.35	0.50	0.68	0.79	1.08	1.28	1.06	1.17	1.15	0.34	1.56	1.28	0.16	0.84
<b>HI1544</b>	0.93	0.81	0.84	0.76	0.84	1.57	1.41	1.54	1.73	1.56	0.18	1.00	1.16	1.42	0.94
<b>HI1531</b>	1.46	0.79	1.79	1.01	1.26	1.10	1.19	1.44	0.81	1.13	1.15	0.33	1.11	0.75	0.83
<b>GW366</b>	0.65	2.43	0.57	0.87	1.13	0.59	0.84	0.70	0.47	0.65	0.15	1.40	0.84	0.91	0.82
<b>LOK1</b>	0.55	1.26	1.22	0.84	0.97	0.41	0.59	0.74	0.70	0.61	0.85	1.42	1.13	1.34	1.18
<b>NARMADA14</b>	0.76	0.22	0.90	0.25	0.53	0.80	0.75	0.79	0.81	0.79	0.31	1.57	0.79	0.87	0.89
<b>Mean B</b>	0.87	1.15	0.89	0.90		0.80	0.93	0.91	0.91		0.70	1.17	0.92	1.07	
<b>Factors</b>	<b>C.D.</b>		<b>SE(d)</b>		<b>SE(m)</b>	<b>C.D.</b>		<b>SE(d)</b>		<b>SE(m)</b>	<b>C.D.</b>		<b>SE(d)</b>		<b>SE(m)</b>
<b>Factor(A)</b>	NS		0.15		0.10	NS		0.08		0.06	0.06		0.02		0.01
<b>Factor(B)</b>	NS		0.41		0.29	0.36		0.18		0.13	0.13		0.07		0.05
<b>Factor(B)at same level of A</b>	NS		0.82		0.31	NS		0.35		0.17	0.27		0.13		0.04
<b>Factor(A)at same level of B</b>	NS		0.79		0.56	NS		0.34		0.24	0.26		0.12		0.09

T<sub>1</sub>=Control, T<sub>2</sub>=100% (N+P+K), T<sub>3</sub>= 50% N+ 100% (P+K), T<sub>4</sub>=50%P+100%N+K

The enzyme NR nitrate reduces nitrogen for protein metabolism in plant system. Nitrate is the principal source of nitrogen for wheat plant, wherein NRA is the rate limiting, and hence protein synthesis is mostly dependent on NR activity. At all growth phases, NR activity was found to have a strong and positive relationship with grain protein. As a result, a favourable relationship between these two traits was inevitable (Adavi *et al.*, [14]). In this study, increased nitrate reductase enzyme activity was found in the HI1531 and HI8713 genotypes, and these genotypes also showed better grain production, indicating that the NRA and grain yield had a substantial relationship in the HI1531 and HI8713 genotypes. These findings are in congruence with Fortunato *et al.*, 2019 [6], wherein they found a highly substantial positive connection between NR activity and grain yield and grain protein. In wheat and triticale, (Zhan *et al.*, [4]) revealed a strong positive association between NRA and grain yield. In addition (Zhang *et al.*, [19]) discovered a substantial relationship between NRA in the top leaf during the tillering stage and grain yield and grain protein. As a result, NRA can be utilised to select genotypes with high grain protein levels.

#### 4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

At 50 DAS, GW366 had highest NRA content (2.43  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ ) followed by HI8663 (2.17  $\mu\text{mole KNO}_2 / \text{h} / \text{g}$ ) and at 65 and 85 DAS HI 1544 variety had highest average NRA content.

Among all the wheat varieties tested, different varieties showed different stages of NRA activity, with maximum NRA activity at the early stage of flowering, some at the latter flowering stage, and some showing maximum NRA activity at the vegetative stage.

The main findings are that (a) the amount of nitrate in the tissues played a significant role in regulating the level of enzyme activity; (b) nitrate reductase activity was related to leaf protein content, though not numerically; (c) supplemental nitrogen was effective in inducing nitrate reductase on a field scale; and (d) increased enzyme activity from supplemental nitrogen treatments were linked to increases in gram-weight protein (% or total).

#### Reference

1. Abid M, Tian Z, Ata-UI-Karim ST, Cui Y, Liu Y, Zahoor R, Jiang D, Dai T. Nitrogen nutrition improves the potential of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to alleviate the effects of drought stress during vegetative growth periods. *Frontiers in plant science*. 2016 Jun 30;7:981.
2. Astaneh N, Bazrafshan F, Zare M, Amiri B, Bahrani A. Nano-fertilizer prevents environmental pollution and improves physiological traits of wheat grown under drought stress conditions. *Scientia Agropecuaria*. 2021 Jan;12(1):41-7.
3. Bano SA, Iqbal SM. Biological nitrogen fixation to improve plant growth and productivity. *Int. J. Agric. Innov. Res*. 2016;4:597-9.
4. Chen Y, Zhang P, Wang L, Ma G, Li Z, Wang C. Interaction of nitrogen and phosphorus on wheat yield, N use efficiency and soil nitrate nitrogen distribution in the North China Plain. *International Journal of Plant Production*. 2020 Sep;14:415-26.
5. Dietz KJ, Zörb C, Geilfus CM. Drought and crop yield. *Plant Biology*. 2021 Nov;23(6):881-93.
6. Fortunato S, Nigro D, Paradiso A, Cucci G, Lacolla G, Trani R, Agrimi G, Blanco A, de Pinto MC, Gadaleta A. Nitrogen metabolism at tillering stage differently affects the grain yield and grain protein content in two Durum wheat cultivars. *Diversity*. 2019 Oct 1;11(10):186.

7. Julia CC, Rose TJ, Pariasca-Tanaka J, Jeong K, Matsuda T, Wissuwa M. Phosphorus uptake commences at the earliest stages of seedling development in rice. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2018 Oct 12;69(21):5233-40.
8. Kaur B, Asthir B, Bains NS. Enzymatic efficiency and genotypic differences for nitrogen assimilation in wheat. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B: Biological Sciences*. 2017 Sep;87:985-95.
9. Khalofah A, Khan MI, Arif M, Hussain A, Ullah R, Irfan M, Mahpara S, Shah RU, Ansari MJ, Kintl A, Brtnicky M. Deep placement of nitrogen fertilizer improves yield, nitrogen use efficiency and economic returns of transplanted fine rice. *Plos one*. 2021 Feb 25;16(2):e0247529
10. Luo C, Rodriguez-R LM, Johnston ER, Wu L, Cheng L, Xue K, Tu Q, Deng Y, He Z, Shi JZ, Yuan MM. Soil microbial community responses to a decade of warming as revealed by comparative metagenomics. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 2014 Mar 1;80(5):1777-86.
11. Malhotra H, Sharma S, Pandey R. Phosphorus nutrition: plant growth in response to deficiency and excess. *Plant nutrients and abiotic stress tolerance*. 2018:171-90.
12. Nicholas DD, Nason A. [144] Determination of nitrate and nitrite.
13. Pilbeam DJ. The utilization of nitrogen by plants: a whole plant perspective. *Ann. Plant Rev. Online*. 2018 Feb 15:305-51.
14. Sathee L, Meena HS, Adavi SB, Jha SK. Nitric oxide-induced regulation of plant developmental processes and abiotic stress responses. *Plant Abiotic Stress Tolerance: Agronomic, Molecular and Biotechnological Approaches*. 2019:381-408.
15. Simpson RJ, Oberson A, Culvenor RA, Ryan MH, Veneklaas EJ, Lambers H, Lynch JP, Ryan PR, Delhaize E, Smith FA, Smith SE. Strategies and agronomic interventions to improve the phosphorus-use efficiency of farming systems. *Plant and Soil*. 2011 Dec;349:89-120.
16. Watson M, Mullen R. Understanding soil tests for plant-available phosphorus. Unpublished. 2007 Jun:1755-315. (Reference was not cited within text)
17. White PJ, Veneklaas EJ. Nature and nurture: the importance of seed phosphorus content. *Plant and soil*. 2012 Aug; 357:1-8.
18. Yeoh YK, Paungfoo-Lonhienne C, Dennis PG, Robinson N, Ragan MA, Schmidt S, Hugenholtz P. The core root microbiome of sugarcane cultivated under varying nitrogen fertilizer application. *Environmental microbiology*. 2016 May;18(5):1338-51. (Reference was not cited within text)
19. Zhang G, Liu S, Dong Y, Liao Y, Han J. A nitrogen fertilizer strategy for simultaneously increasing wheat grain yield and protein content: Mixed application of controlled-release urea and normal urea. *Field Crops Research*. 2022 Mar 1;277:108405.
20. Zhang H, Hou J, Liu J, Zhang J, Song B, Xie C. The roles of starch metabolic pathways in the cold-induced sweetening process in potatoes. *Starch-Stärke*. 2017 Jan;69(1-2):1600194.