

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96769
Title of the Manuscript:	Water Quality Response to Economic Development: Quantifying Environmental Kuznets Curve
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	-The manuscript is important for the scientific community because it makes the connection between economic development and pollution in a given region of the author's country. -Yes --Give in the abstract some values of the oxygen and BOD indices which will give a precise indication of their economic influence. - The Manuscript must be reorganized by introducing numbers: for example, 1. Introduction, 2. Materials and Methods, 3. Results and discussion and 4. Conclusion. -In the introduction, in paragraph 6, the sentence must be supported by a bibliographical reference. -In the last sentence of the introduction, the values obtained in the study must be specified. -At the level of "Faecal coliforms and total coliforms", the first sentence below, concerning the citation of tables (there is a repetition), must be reviewed. -For the results and discussion, it is necessary to reorganize this section by bringing up the tables. The tables must be visible in this section and, at the bottom of each table follow the appropriate comments. -Instead of "Conclusion and suggested measures", we should put "conclusion". After the conclusion follow the bibliographical references. -There should be no appendix in a scientific article. This appendix I must be reduced to the level of "materials and methods". Specifically, in "Description of the study area". - I therefore request a reorganization of the drafting plan of the document. -The document is scientifically correct but needs to be reorganized for editorial purposes. -Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-The English quality is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	I therefore request a reorganization of the drafting plan of the document.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kalifa Palm
Department, University & Country	Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique, Burkina Faso