

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96725
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphate fertilizer on Phosphorus uptake and Phosphorus use efficiency in wheat varieties (T. aestivum and T. durum)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Every Plants cannot survive without a consistent supply of nutrients. Fertilizer management strategies that supply nutrients at the proper rate, timing, and site can improve fertilizer use efficiency. Yes Need revision Yes Yes Still more literature is required.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English grammar and scientific approach need to be improved.	
Optional/General comments	1. Avoid short names in abstract. e.g.: ATP 2. Add nomenclature section since lot of short names are found in the paper. 3. Number and cite the equations. 4. Elaborate the result and discussion.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushanth H Gowda
Department, University & Country	Visvesvaraya Technological University, India