

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96718
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Irrigation scheduling and level of nitrogen on Chandrasur (Lepidium sativum) in Zone III-B of Rajasthan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The subject of the study is current and quite relevant. Similar studies should be encouraged. 2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable 3. the abstract of the article is satisfactory 4. Are subsections of the manuscript appropriate, but should be expanded, showing more details 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct, however a statistical analysis would greatly improve the article 6. the references are sufficient, however many are outdated	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	A map, from the world, highlighting the location would help to locate where the study was carried out, especially for readers from other countries. -The methodology should be better detailed.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Willames de Albuquerque Soares
Department, University & Country	Pernambuco Polytechnic School, University of Pernambuco, Brasil