

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96696
Title of the Manuscript:	STABILITY AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION FOR SCREENING OF HEAT TOLERANT GENOTYPES OF CHICKPEA (Cicer arietinum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, its a breeding trial screening of genotypes of heat tolerance in chickpea but mentioned trial conducted for two years but data is not showing Need to be revised as suggessted Need to be revised by including loacation of trial and incorporation of corrections Yes but need to add the agronomic practices in materials and methods and also include the corrections Yes Need to include the findings of previous studies on heat tolerant genotypes in the introduction	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok	
Optional/General comments	Requires revision and also clear if study two years but data is showing one year	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Avtar Singh Bimbraw
Department, University & Country	Punjab Agricultural University, India