

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96642
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Molybdenum and Sulphur on Growth and Yield of Summer Black gram (Vigna mungo L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1 The manuscript could be important for scientific community, but we need more results to improve that in my opinion. 2 YES, the title of the article suitable 3 The author must avoid the title "on the topic "Effect of Molybdenum and Sulphur on growth and Yield of Summer Black gram (Vigna mungo L.)", in the abstract The author must write these numbers "10 and 9" in words. 4 the author need to add figures and improve the level of the writing The author must write the words bellow correctly: Can= could Specially= especially 59= in words many plant process= many plant processes 5 the author must improve the level of the manuscript . 6 the author should change the references between 2005 -2009 to the new ones The author must the change this references (Anonymous 2015).	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The author must do a huge revision and increase the level of the English language	
Optional/General comments	Finally, i did my best to review the paper, on the other hand, the authors must do a global and deeper revision correctly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tribak Zineb
Department, University & Country	Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Morocco