



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>1. As suggested by the reviewer, there should be a subsection of Statistical analysis and need some description. A single phrase is not enough.</p> <p>2. I found the references sufficient, but not recent. 9/56 references are from the last 10 years, so less than 20% of the references are recent. I would suggest changing this for at least 50% of recent references.</p> <p>3. I have pointed out several English written mistakes</p> <p>Please see the attached file</p>	<p>Two-way ANOVA was conducted to know whether there was significant difference between the control and the experimental sets i.e the applied subtoxic level of pesticides and these have been depicted in Table 1-4. They are now placed in section 2.7.</p> <p>Some of the recent references were added in revised manuscript as suggested.</p> <p>Corrections have been done as suggested.</p>