

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96563
Title of the Manuscript:	An Economic Evolution of Organic and Conventional Cotton Cultivation in Maharashtra
Type of the Article	Applied Science

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it's an interesting meaning in economic and agricultural areas.</p> <p>Yes, it's simply to understand and attracting to readers.</p> <p>It is indeed as short as well to complete, and understandingly.</p> <p>It is good structured.</p> <p>Sure, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are appropriated; however, I just suggest having a look in this opus: Lodhi, A. H. (2021): Land, in: Haroon Akram-Lodhi, A.; Dietz, K.; Engels, B.; McKay, B. (eds.), Hand of Critical Agrarian Studies, Cheltenham, 72-79.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>They are very simply writing corrections to do vz. P. 8 (Figure in ...</p> <p>I would suggest reordering the columns of tables 1 and 2 from highest to lowest value.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is fully interesting these statements of author:</p> <p>« In India, cotton occupies less than 5 per cent of cultivated area but represents the estimated 54 per cent of agricultural pesticide use and same pesticides are treated as "highly to extremely hazardous to human life". »</p> <p>« However, the success story of Green Revolution proved to be only a short-term phenomenon. »</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mariano E. Torres Bautista
Department, University & Country	Benemerita Autonomous University of Puebla, Mexico