

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_96552</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Positive Effect of Natural Resources Management Interventions on Bio-Physical Indicators in Polla Watershed Project of Srikakulam District, Andhra Pradesh, India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The topic is interesting and needed to evaluate the effectiveness of natural resources management interventions. The organization of the abstract is good but need to recheck the project names and flow of writing. Appropriate but need to be further improved. This manuscript is full of valuable figures and tables. However, the way wrote the result and discussion section are weak. A detailed description is required in the methodology section. Please check the temperature of the study area and unit. The equation should be placed using the equation editor in MS Word. Please add the map of the study area. The latitude and longitude values need to be added to the figures and insert the caption clearly for pre- and post-scenarios.  Confirm the Table and Figure numbers in the text while explaining.  Definitions of nil vegetation to dense vegetation must be included.  Please move the last two sentences of the conclusion to the discussion part.  The above-mentioned comments are necessary to improve the quality of this paper.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>S. Vijitharan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Vavuniya, Sri Lanka</b>