

## Original Research Article

### **Thermal requirement, heat and radiation use efficiency of *kharif*soybean in middle Gujarat region as influenced by cultivars and plant geometry**

#### **Abstract**

I recommend in this first paragraph to briefly mention the purpose of the study, for example "The present study proposes to evaluate the thermal requirements, heat use efficiency and radiation in *kharif* soybean crops in the central region of Gujarat, depending on the geometry of the plant."

The present investigation for soybean cultivars (NRC 37, GS 1 and GS 2) under varying plant geometry (45 x 10 cm, 45 x 5 cm and 30 x 10 cm) in middle Gujarat condition was conducted during the *kharif* season of 2015 and 2016.

The findings of experiments conclude that among all the different geometry 30 x 10 cm produced highest seed (4403 kg/ha) and total biomass yield (5818 kg/ha) over the other two spacing because higher heat use efficiency(HUE for GDD- 0.69 kg/ha/°C Day; HUE for HTU- 0.14 kg/ha/°C Day hour; HUE for PTU- 0.05 kg/ha/°C Day hour). The cultivar NRC 37 (4415 kg/ha) produced highest seed yield followed by GS 1 (3799 kg/ha) and GS 2 (3670 kg/ha). Heat unit requirement of cultivar NRC 37 (GDD - 1952 °C Day; HTU – 9894 °C Day hour; PTU-25974 °C day hour) was more as compared to GS 2 (GDD - 1951 °C day; HTU – 9893 °C day hour; PTU-25973 °C day hour) and GS 1 (GDD - 1931 °C day; HTU – 9809 °C day hour; PTU-25681 °C day hour), respectively. The radiation use efficiency (RUE) for seed yield and biomass are more in 45 x 5 cm geometry.

**Keywords:** Soybean, GDD, HTU, PTU, HUE and RUE.

**I recommend reviewing the references, and modifying as indicated in the authors' guide:**

**“References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].”**

**See: <https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/about/submissions#authorGuidelines>**

## INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) is an important oilseed crop and a very rich source of protein (40-45%). Since the Saurashtra region saw extremely severe rainfall in 2020, which entirely destroyed groundnut production and caused groundnut seed prices to rise to levels that were out of reach for marginal and small farmers, *kharif* soybean cultivation has seen a significant expansion in Gujarat. In 2019, 1.006 lakh hectares of soybeans were cultivated; in 2022, that number would rise to 2.216 lakh hectares (Anonymous, 2022). Soybean is generally quite sensitive to photoperiod and its flowers in response to shortening of the dark period. The crop requires 110-120 days from sowing to maturity. The duration of a particular stage of growth was directly related to temperature because plants required a specific amount of accumulated heat to develop into upcoming growth stage and this duration for particular species could be predicted using the sum of daily air temperatures-based indices (Virani *et al.*, 2022). Thus, air temperature-based indices like growing degree days (GDD), Photothermal units (PTU), Heliothermal units (HTU), Heat use efficiency (HUE) etc. can successfully be used for describing phenological behavior and other growth parameters like leaf area development, biomass production, seed yield, oil content etc. in relative terms (Neog *et al.*, 2008). Heat and radiation use efficiencies in terms of dry matter accumulation or yield are important aspects, which have great practical application. The experiment was conducted to find out the thermal requirement of given soybean cultivars and also find the impact of plant geometry on heat and radiation use efficiency.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation for soybean cultivars under varying plant spacing in middle Gujarat condition was conducted during the *kharif* season of 2015 and 2016 at research Farm, B. A. College of Agriculture, AAU, Anand, Gujarat. The cultivars *viz.*, NRC 37 (Ahilya-4) (V<sub>1</sub>), Gujarat Soybean 1 (GS 1) (V<sub>2</sub>) and Gujarat Soybean 2 (GS 2) (V<sub>3</sub>) were used in the present investigation. The experiment involved three spacing (S<sub>1</sub> - 45 x 10 cm, S<sub>2</sub> - 45 x 5 cm and S<sub>3</sub> - 30 x 10 cm) with these varieties. The growth of soybean was divided into eight different phenophases *viz.*, emergence – branching (P1), branching-first flowering (P2), first-50 % flowering (P3), 50 % -

100 % flowering (P4), 100 % flowering-pod formation (P5), pod formation - 50 % pod formation (P6), 50% -100 % pod formation (P7) and 100 % pod formation- physiological maturity (P8). Agrometeorological indices like Growing degree days (GDD), Heliothermal unit (HTU), Photothermal unit (PTU) were computed using weather data and methodology as described by Nuttonson (1955).

The **GDD** was calculated by the average daily temperature which is subtracted from the base temperature. The base temperature taken for the soybean crop was 10 °C. The **HTU** was calculated by GDD multiplied by actual sunshine hours and **PTU** was calculated by GDD multiplied with maximum possible sunshine hours and totalize each day GDD, HTU, and PTU in accordance with the crop maturity days to find total accumulated requirement units of GDD, HTU and PTU to complete crop life cycle in given environment. The **heat use efficiency (HUE)** was calculated by total seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) divided by total accumulated GDD.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

**I recommend including tables and figures as indicated in the authors' guide: "Tables & figures should be placed inside the text. Tables and figures should be presented as per their appearance in the text."**

See: <https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/about/submissions#authorGuidelines>

### Growing degree days (GDD)

GDD required for the completion of the crop growth stages of three ~~cultivar~~cultivars from sowing to maturity of crop as attended by different cultivars and years are given in Table 1 and depicted in Figure 1. Result revealed that the GDD under different phenophases from emergence to physiological maturity was highest in V<sub>3</sub> cultivars. Maximum GDD accumulated from emergence to branching phase was in V<sub>3</sub> cultivars followed by V<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>1</sub> in emergence – Branching (P1) phase, First - 50 % flowering (P3), 50 % -100 % flowering (P4) and 100 % pod formation-physiological maturity (P8) phase for both the years. The GDD in the year 2015 was greater (1968 °C Day) as compare to GDD in the year of 2016 (1937 °C Day) in V<sub>3</sub> cultivar. It is might be due to temperature range of 2015 was greater compared to 2016. Moreover, due to adequate rainfall and less sunshine received adequate environmental condition which was ~~favourable~~favorable for development of most sensitive phases. As a result of this the yield of 2016 was more as compared to 2015. The average heat unit requirement of cultivar V<sub>1</sub> was 1951 °C day, cultivar V<sub>2</sub> required 1931°C day and cultivar V<sub>3</sub> required 1952 °C day. The results are in good agreement with Manish Bhanet *al.*, (2019).

### Heliothermal units (HTU)

Heliothermal units (HTU) accumulated during each phase of soybean crop cultivars and years are given in Table 2 and depicted in Figure 2. Results revealed that HTU was maximum under 2015 as compared to 2016. It might be due to the bright sunshine hours and temperature were more in all the phases from emergence to physiological maturity. Among the cultivars maximum HTU accumulated by V<sub>3</sub> cultivars followed by V<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>1</sub>. During branching-first flowering (P2) and 100% flowering-pod formation (P5) phase (2016) less HTU was accumulated due to the less or negligible bright sunshine hours were received as mostly cloudy weather was there. In V<sub>3</sub> cultivars maximum HTU was obtained as compared to V<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>1</sub> in 2015 followed by 2016 from emergence to physiological phase. HTU requirement V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>3</sub> are 9893 °C Day hour and 9894 °C Day hour, while V<sub>2</sub> required 9809 °C Day hour to complete life cycle. The results are in good agreement with Usha and Jadhav (2020).

### **Photothermal units (PTU)**

Photothermal units (PTU) accumulated during each phase of soybean crop as attended by different cultivars and years are given in Table 3 and depicted in Figure 3. Results revealed that photothermal units (PTU) were maximum under 2015 as compared to 2016. Variation in PTU during different phases was similar to that observed in case of GDD under different cultivars. Among the cultivars minimum PTU accumulated by V<sub>3</sub> cultivars followed by V<sub>2</sub> and V<sub>1</sub>. PTU requirement V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>3</sub> are 25973 °C Day hour and 25974 °C Day hour, respectively while V<sub>2</sub> required 25681 °C Day hour to complete life cycle. This result showed good line with finding of Kaushik *et al.*, (2015) and Chavan *et al.*, (2018).

### **Heat use efficiency (HUE)**

The quantification of the heat use efficiency in terms of dry matter production per unit of growing degree day (GDD), heliothermal unit (HTU) and photothermal unit (PTU) are important for determination of the soybean yield potential in the different environment during growing period of the soybean crop in year of 2015 and 2016. The heat use efficiency (HUE) was worked out for the treatments of different plant spacing and cultivars sown crop and years are given in Table 4. The study of HUE of grain yield showed that the HUE decreased with advancement of the age of crop. The results also showed that the HUE of overall growth period was found more in 2016 followed by 2015 in cultivar NRC 37 in V<sub>3</sub> (0.78-0.75 kg/ha/°C days), followed by GS 1 V<sub>2</sub> (0.65-0.60 kg/ha/°C Day) and GS 2 V<sub>1</sub> (0.57-0.55 kg/ha/°C Day). Under plant spacing S3 (0.70-0.69 kg/ha/°C Day) has high HUE as compared to S2 (0.67-0.62 kg/ha/°C Day) and S1 (0.62-0.60 kg/ha/°C day) for seed yield. Similar trend is found in the case of HUE for HTU and PTU. This result in good accordance with finding of Tupe *et al.*, (2023).

### **Heat use efficiency (HUE) for biomass**

The heat use efficiency (HUE) was worked out on the basis of total dry biomass for the treatments of different plant spacing and cultivars sown crop and years are given in the Table 5. The study of HUE of biomass yield showed that the HUE was more in 2016 as compared to 2015 with advancement of the age of crop. The results also showed that the HUE of overall growth period was found more in 2016 followed by 2015 in cultivar NRC 37 in V<sub>3</sub> (3.0 - 2.9 kg/ha/°C Day) followed by GS 1 V<sub>2</sub> (0.69-0.48 kg/ha/°C Day) and GS 2 V<sub>1</sub> (2.4 - 2.3 kg/ha/°C Day). Among different plant spacing S<sub>3</sub> (2.9 -2.8 kg/ha/°C Day) has high HUE as compared to S<sub>2</sub> (2.6-2.5 kg/ha/°C Day) and S<sub>1</sub> (2.4-2.3 kg/ha/°C Day) for biomass. Similar trend is found in the case of HUE for HTU and PTU.

### **Radiation use efficiency (RUE)**

RUE of different soybean cultivars in two crop seasons and growth periods between emergence to harvesting under different plant ~~spacing~~ spacing are presented in Table 6. The relation between IPAR or solar radiation and dry matter production is useful for judging the potential of crop to harvest solar energy. The highest RUE for seed yield was obtained in S<sub>2</sub>V<sub>3</sub> (0.45 g MJ/m<sup>2</sup> and 0.59 g MJ/m<sup>2</sup>) treatment followed by other treatments combinations in both the years. In case of lowest RUE for biomass yield were found in S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>1</sub> (0.99 g MJ/m<sup>2</sup>) in 2015 and S<sub>1</sub>V<sub>2</sub> (1.32 g MJ/m<sup>2</sup>) in 2016 years of experiment. Maximum RUE for seed and biomass yield were in S<sub>2</sub> (45 X 5 cm) plant spacing and NRC 37 (V<sub>3</sub>) cultivar among all the treatments and their combination in both the years. The results are in good agreement with Kumar *et al.*, (2008).

### **CONCLUSIONS**

I recommend including a paragraph like this here: “The efficiencies of heat and radiation use in terms of dry matter accumulation or yield are aspects that have a great practical application for crops. The present experimental study was conducted to find out the thermal requirement of different soybean cultivars and the possible impact of plant geometry on heat and radiation use efficiency”.

From the present investigation, it can be concluded that temperature and sunshine hours affect crop yield of soybean cultivars. The crop needs a precise amount of accumulated heat units, which can change from year to year. The heat and radiation use efficiency were affected by plant geometry and it's varied with cultivars but plant geometry has no impact on thermal requirements of crop.

**I recommend reviewing the references, and modifying as indicated in the authors' guide:**

**E.g. for Published paper:**

**1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.**

**See: <https://journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/about/submissions#authorGuidelines>**

## REFERENCES

- Anonymous (2022), Soybean Production by State, The Soybean Processors Association of India.
- Chavan, K. K.; Khobragade, A. M.;Kadam, Y. E. and Mane, R. B. (2018). Study the heat unit requirement of soybean (*Glycine max*) varieties under varied weather condition at Parbhani. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, **7**(3): 526-530.
- Kaushik, D. K.; Patel, S. R.;Chandrawanshi, S. K.;Khavse, R. and Chaudhary, J. L. (2015). Study on agrometeorological indices for soybean crop under different sowing dates in Chhattisgarh region of India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, **49**(3): 282-285.
- Kumar, A.; Pandey, V.;Shekh, A. M. and Kumar, M. (2008). Radiation use efficiency and weather parameters influence during life cycle of soybean production as well as accumulation of dry matter. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agronomy*.**1** (2): 41-44.
- Manish Bhan;Deshraj Patel, L. D.;Walikar, P.;Vijaya, Kumar.and K.K. Agrawal. (2019). Thermal and radiation environments for assessing crop-weather relationship of soybean in eastern Madhya Pradesh. *Journal of Agrometeorology*, **21**(2), 141–147.
- Neog, P.;Bhuyan, J. andBaruah, N. (2008). Thermal indices in relation to crop phenology and seed yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill). *J. Agrometeorol.*, **10**, 388-392.
- Nuttonson, M. Y. (1955). Some preliminary observations of phenological data as a tool in the study of photoperiod and thermal requirements of various plant materials. In: A.E. Murneek and R. O. Whyte (Editors), Vernalization and Photoperiodism -A Symposium. *ChronicaBotanica* Waltham, MA.
- Tupe, A. R.;Thawal, D. W.;Kharbade, S. B.;Ganvir, M. M.; Morey, S. T.; Lunge, S. V. and Mali, R. S. (2023). Effect of sowing date on thermal time requirement, heat use efficiency and radiation interception of soybean.*The Pharma Innovation Journal*,**12**(1): 1866-1870.
- Usha Sri, D. and Jadhav, M. G. (2020). To Study the Thermal Requirement of Soybean (*Glycine max*) Varieties under Varied Environment at Parbhani District, India. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.*,**9**(06): 4056-4063.

Virani, V. B.;Neeraj Kumar and Mote, B. M. (2022). Evaluation of Thermal Indices in Relation to Phenology of Green Gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) under Different Growing Environments of Navsari Region of South Gujarat. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci.*,**11**(08): 182-188.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1: Phase-wise growing degree days (GDD) influenced by different cultivars of soybean crop during *kharif* 2015 and 2016**

Phenophases	GDD (°C Day)					
	V <sub>1</sub>		V <sub>2</sub>		V <sub>3</sub>	
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016
Emergence – Branching (P <sub>1</sub> )	718	624	698	639	736	642
Branching-first flowering (P <sub>2</sub> )	57	35	97	37	58	36
First-50 % flowering (P <sub>3</sub> )	207	232	168	232	207	232
50 % -100 % flowering (P <sub>4</sub> )	153	111	113	90	114	109
100 % flowering-pod formation (P <sub>5</sub> )	79	122	98	48	116	86
pod formation - 50 % pod formation (P <sub>6</sub> )	151	144	209	220	191	201
50% -100 % pod formation (P <sub>7</sub> )	160	175	181	177	208	193
100 % pod formation- physiological maturity (P <sub>8</sub> )	444	493	384	473	337	439
Crop cycle	1967	1936	1947	1916	1968	1937
<b>Average crop cycle</b>	<b>1951</b>		<b>1931</b>		<b>1952</b>	

**Table 2: Phase-wise heliothermal unit (HTU) influenced by different cultivars of soybean crop during *Kharif* 2015 and 2016**

Phenophases	HTU (°C Day hour)					
	V <sub>1</sub>		V <sub>2</sub>		V <sub>3</sub>	
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016
Emergence – Branching (P <sub>1</sub> )	2890	1618	2803	1537	2911	1618
Branching-first flowering (P <sub>2</sub> )	256	0	407	166	329	73
First-50 % flowering (P <sub>3</sub> )	821	613	670	772	908	672
50 % -100 % flowering (P <sub>4</sub> )	1094	465	790	140	752	333
100 % flowering-pod formation (P <sub>5</sub> )	601	223	774	7	782	138
pod formation - 50 % pod formation (P <sub>6</sub> )	1248	942	1743	1314	1795	1336
50% -100 % pod formation (P <sub>7</sub> )	1278	1507	1223	1449	1025	1327
100 % pod formation- physiological maturity	3474	2755	3166	2657	3161	2627
Crop cycle	11664	8123	11577	8041	11665	8124
<b>Average crop cycle</b>	<b>9893</b>		<b>9809</b>		<b>9894</b>	

**Table 3: Phase-wise photo-thermal unit (PTU) influenced by different cultivars of Soybean crop during Kharif 2015 and 2016**

Phenophases	PTU (°C day hour)					
	V <sub>1</sub>		V <sub>2</sub>		V <sub>3</sub>	
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016
Emergence – Branching (P <sub>1</sub> )	11332	8235	11028	8414	11581	8466
Branching-first flowering (P <sub>2</sub> )	780	459	1296	481	783	468
First-50 % flowering (P <sub>3</sub> )	2841	2971	2325	2968	2849	2966
50 % -100 % flowering (P <sub>4</sub> )	2132	1401	1635	1130	1620	1372
100 % flowering-pod formation (P <sub>5</sub> )	1074	1523	1308	598	1572	1076
pod formation - 50 % pod formation (P <sub>6</sub> )	1984	1779	2754	2724	2469	2474
50% -100 % pod formation (P <sub>7</sub> )	1828	2129	2007	2143	2484	2327
100 % pod formation- physiological maturity (P <sub>8</sub> )	5686	5793	5000	5553	4299	5140
Crop cycle	27657	24290	27353	24010	27658	24291
<b>Average crop cycle</b>	<b>25973</b>		<b>25681</b>		<b>25974</b>	

**Table 4: Heat use efficiency (HUE) of seed yield for soybean cultivars for different plant spacing using the GDD, HTU and PTU**


Treatments	HUE (GDD) (kg/ha/°C day)		HUE (HTU) (kg/ha/°C day hour)		HUE (PTU) (kg/ha/°C day hour)	
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016
S <sub>1</sub> (45 x 10 cm)	0.60	0.62	0.10	0.14	0.04	0.05
S <sub>2</sub> (45 x 5 cm)	0.62	0.67	0.10	0.15	0.04	0.05
S <sub>3</sub> (30 x 10 cm)	0.69	0.70	0.12	0.16	0.05	0.06
V <sub>1</sub> (GS 2)	0.55	0.57	0.09	0.13	0.04	0.04
V <sub>2</sub> (GS 1)	0.60	0.65	0.10	0.15	0.04	0.05
V <sub>3</sub> (NRC 37)	0.75	0.78	0.12	0.18	0.05	0.06

**Table 5: Heat use efficiency (HUE) of biomass yield of soybean crops under varying plant spacing and varieties using the GDD, HTU and PTU**


Treatments	HUE (GDD) (kg/ha/°C day )		HUE (HTU) (kg/ha/°C day hour)		HUE (PTU) (kg/ha/°C day hour)	
	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016
S <sub>1</sub> (45 x 10 cm)	2.3	2.4	0.38	0.55	0.16	0.19
S <sub>2</sub> (45 x 5 cm)	2.5	2.6	0.42	0.60	0.18	0.21
S <sub>3</sub> (30 x 10 cm)	2.8	2.9	0.47	0.66	0.20	0.23
V <sub>1</sub> (GS 2)	2.3	2.4	0.39	0.54	0.17	0.19
V <sub>2</sub> (GS 1)	2.4	2.6	0.41	0.59	0.17	0.20
V <sub>3</sub> (NRC 37)	2.9	3.0	0.48	0.69	0.20	0.24

**Table 6: Radiation use efficiency (RUE) of seed and biomass yield of soybean crops under varying plant spacing and varieties**


Treatments	2015			2016		
	IPAR (MJ/m <sup>2</sup> )	Seed (RUE) (g MJ/m <sup>2</sup> )	Biomass (RUE) (g MJ/m <sup>2</sup> )	IPAR (MJ/m)	Seed (RUE) (g MJ/m <sup>2</sup> )	Biomass (RUE) (g MJ/m <sup>2</sup> )
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	899	0.22	0.99	596	0.35	1.50
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	776	0.30	1.16	702	0.33	1.32
S <sub>1</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	837	0.30	1.25	686	0.36	1.55
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	621	0.37	1.45	398	0.58	2.33
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	520	0.44	2.03	509	0.53	2.09
S <sub>2</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	659	0.45	1.49	528	0.59	2.13
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>1</sub>	994	0.25	0.99	709	0.35	1.57
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>2</sub>	896	0.29	1.29	761	0.37	1.58
S <sub>3</sub> V <sub>3</sub>	998	0.33	1.34	799	0.41	1.70



**Fig. 1: Phase-wise Growing degree days (GDD) influenced by different cultivars of soybean crop during *Kharif* 2015 and 2016**



**Fig. 2: Phase-wise heliothermal unit (HTU) influenced by different cultivars of soybean crop during *Kharif* 2015 and 2016**



**Fig. 3: Phase-wise photo-thermal unit (PTU) influenced by different cultivars of Soybean crop during *Kharif* 2015 and 2016**