

Effect of organic mulches and inorganic mulches in ridge gourd var. Arka prasan

Abstract

The present investigation entitled effect of organic mulches and inorganic mulches in ridge gourd was carried out during *Kharif*, 2021 and Summer, 2022 at P.G block, College of Horticulture, Rajendranagar, SKLTSHU, Hyderabad. The experiment was carried out with 7 treatments in Randomized Block Design with three replications. Among the organic and inorganic mulches treatments, the results reported that the silver black polyethylene mulch recorded highest in growth, flowering and yield parameters compared to other mulches.

Keywords: Ridge gourd, organic mulches, inorganic mulches, Arka prasan

Introduction

Ridge gourd (*Luffa acutangula* L. Roxb; family Cucurbitaceae), also known as kalitori, angled gourd, silky gourd, Chinese okra, and ribbed gourd. It has chromosome number $2n = 26$, and is native to India. Most of the cucurbitaceous vegetables are usually cultivated in relatively small areas for local consumption. In India, gourds are cultivated in an area of 4.52 lakh hectares with a production of 36.16 lakh MT (3) and in Telangana the crop is grown in an area of 14,087 hectares with a production of 2.82 lakh MT and productivity of 20 MT (4).

It can be found throughout the tropical and subtropical regions and is cultivated for its green tender fruits during spring-summer and rainy seasons when the temperature ranges between 20 - 32°C. The unripe fruits are consumed as a cooked vegetable and nutritionally the fruits are rich in several minerals and vitamins. Each 100 g of edible fresh fruit contains around 5 mg vitamin C, 0.01mg riboflavin, 33 µg carotene, 92.5% moisture, 0.5g protein, 0.5 g fat, 3.4 g carbohydrate, 18 mg calcium, 26 mg phosphorous and 0.5 mg iron (28) and it is an excellent blood purifier, possessing laxative properties, beneficial for diabetes, jaundice and weight loss. It acts as anti-biotic, anti-inflammatory and fortifying the immune system. It is used in manufacturing of bathing sponges, scrubber pads, pillows, doormats and mattresses.

Materials and methods

The present investigation was carried out during *Kharif*, 2021 and Summer, 2022 at P.G block, College of Horticulture, SKLTSHU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. The experimental site is situated at a latitude of 17⁰.32' North, longitude of 78⁰.40' East and altitude of 542.3 m above mean sea level. The plots were demarcated into three (3) replications, each replication with seven treatments and experimental design followed is Randomized Block Design (RBD). The experiment was executed on a creeping mesh. The pit size of 60 cm² were dug with a spacing of 1.5 × 1.0 m and were kept open for solarization for about 15 days. Good agricultural practices were followed during the entire crop period

Results and discussion

Growth parameters

Vine length (cm)

The data pertaining to vine length in Table 1 as influenced by the effect of mulching revealed that during *Kharif* season, significantly maximum vine length (83.92, 268.49 and 385.07 cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (80.30, 259.54 and 373.45 cm respectively). The minimum vine length (65.47, 223.50 and 325.77 cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season, significantly maximum vine length (86.71, 274.84 and 389.60 cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (83.37, 263.65 and 377.58 cm respectively). The minimum vine length (66.95, 228.76 and 330.51 cm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

In both the seasons, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded maximum vine length. The beneficial effect in terms of conserving soil moisture and moderating soil temperature for improved root growth and better absorption of nutrients with better weed control might have contributed to increase in length of vine. (22, 18, 35, 6)

Treatments	30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS	
	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer
	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022
T ₁ : Paddy straw	76.53	79.12	245.83	250.68	352.73	357.28
T ₂ : Paddy husk	69.18	72.64	233.41	239.81	340.06	345.33
T ₃ : Groundnut husk	75.04	78.33	240.05	248.18	343.54	347.65
T ₄ : Leaf mould	67.26	69.29	231.22	235.71	339.81	342.86
T ₅ : Silver black polythene sheet	83.92	86.71	268.49	274.84	385.07	389.60
T ₆ : Clear transparent polythene sheet	80.30	83.37	259.54	263.65	373.45	377.58
T ₇ : Without mulch	65.47	66.95	223.50	228.76	325.77	330.51
S.Em±	2.28	2.30	7.61	7.65	10.36	10.38
CD at 5%	7.04	7.10	23.45	23.57	31.92	32.00

Table 1: Effect of mulching on vine length (cm) of ridge gourd

Vine diameter (mm)

The data revealed in Table 2 that during *Kharif* season, significantly maximum vine diameter (2.54, 3.29 and 3.86 mm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene

mulch) (2.39, 3.20 and 3.81 mm respectively). The minimum vine diameter (1.96, 2.50 and 2.85 mm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season, significantly maximum vine diameter (2.59, 3.32 and 3.89 mm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) were observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (2.44, 3.25 and 3.84 mm respectively). The minimum vine diameter (2.03, 2.52 and 2.87 mm at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

In both the seasons, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded maximum vine diameter. This might be due to plants under mulching were getting a warmer micro-climate during day time compared to non-mulched and resulted in increase in vine diameter of plants. (27,14,12)

Treatments	30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS	
	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer
	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022
T ₁ : Paddy straw	2.31	2.40	2.87	3.06	3.66	3.69
T ₂ : Paddy husk	2.25	2.28	2.56	2.66	2.99	3.12
T ₃ : Groundnut husk	2.28	2.30	2.99	3.01	3.27	3.36
T ₄ : Leaf mould	2.14	2.20	2.63	2.60	2.94	2.97
T ₅ : Silver black polythene sheet	2.54	2.59	3.29	3.32	3.86	3.89
T ₆ : Clear transparent polythene sheet	2.39	2.44	3.20	3.25	3.81	3.84
T ₇ : Without mulch	1.96	2.03	2.50	2.52	2.85	2.87
S.Em±	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.10
CD at 5%	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.28	0.30	0.30

Table 2: Effect of mulching on vine diameter (mm) of ridge gourd

Number of leaves per vine

The data presented in Table 3 revealed that during *Kharif* season, significantly maximum number of leaves per vine (22.29, 63.27 and 98.93 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (21.69, 60.62 and 96.57 respectively). The minimum number of leaves per vine (12.74, 42.57 and 70.23 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season, significantly maximum number of leaves per vine (22.81, 65.52 and 100.67 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) was observed with T₅ (Silver black

polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (21.73, 63.78 and 96.81 respectively). The minimum number of leaves per vine (13.38, 44.93 and 73.27 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

In both the seasons, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded maximum number of leaves per vine. The beneficial effect of mulching were greater in getting a warmer micro-climate during day time compared to non-mulched resulting in faster growth of plants. The hydrological process could be altered by mulching which results in improving soil productivity along with dropping evaporation and runoff, improving infiltration and soil temperature as well as enhancing biological activity and soil fertility. (12, 27)

Table 3: Effect of mulching on number of leaves per vine of ridge gourd

Treatments	30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS	
	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer
	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022
T ₁ : Paddy straw	17.68	19.57	53.44	57.26	80.56	83.78
T ₂ : Paddy husk	13.70	15.39	50.50	52.59	80.23	81.15
T ₃ : Groundnut husk	15.01	18.48	51.81	52.73	79.09	82.62
T ₄ : Leaf mould	13.46	15.06	45.59	46.05	72.77	75.45
T ₅ : Silver black polythene sheet	22.29	22.81	63.27	65.52	98.93	100.67
T ₆ : Clear transparent polythene sheet	21.69	21.73	60.62	63.78	96.57	96.81
T ₇ : Without mulch	12.74	13.38	42.57	44.93	70.23	73.27
S.Em±	0.51	0.52	1.46	1.55	2.53	2.55
CD at 5%	1.56	1.60	4.49	4.79	7.80	7.85

Leaf area (cm²)

The data presented in Table 4 revealed that during *Kharif* season, significantly maximum leaf area (49.58, 103.02 and 178.52 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (45.95, 99.64 and 166.06 respectively). The minimum leaf area (37.19, 72.79 and 93.77 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season, significantly maximum leaf area (54.71, 108.50 and 180.37 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene

mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (50.84, 103.73 and 173.38 respectively). The minimum leaf area (39.01, 80.71 and 99.38 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

In both the seasons, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded maximum leaf area. It is in support of study that plastic mulches directly affect micro climate around plant by modifying radiation budget (absorbing vs reflectivity) of the surface and decreasing the soil water and nutrient loss (24)

Mulch could improve leaf photosynthetic capacity beside the role of polyethylene for enhancing root growth as well as, absorption of each of water drops and nutrients and thereby, enhanced metabolic activities within plant during the period of growth and reproduction process, which possessed leaf number, width of leaf area, leaf area index with high leaf chlorophyll content that induced more photosynthetic rates. (29, 15, 13)

Treatments	30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS	
	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer
	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022
T ₁ : Paddy straw	42.78	45.82	95.64	99.34	164.42	162.67
T ₂ : Paddy husk	38.72	40.88	83.31	86.60	121.47	134.78
T ₃ : Groundnut husk	39.22	42.45	89.72	92.53	132.27	138.81
T ₄ : Leaf mould	37.63	39.73	81.10	85.70	114.08	124.89
T ₅ : Silver black polythene sheet	49.58	54.71	103.02	108.50	178.52	180.37
T ₆ : Clear transparent polythene sheet	45.95	50.84	99.64	103.73	166.06	173.38
T ₇ : Without mulch	37.19	39.01	72.79	80.71	93.77	99.38
S.Em±	1.27	1.29	2.60	2.82	4.10	4.36
CD at 5%	3.91	3.98	8.01	8.69	12.62	13.45

Table 4: Effect of mulching on Leaf area (cm²) of ridge gourd

Leaf area Index

The data presented in Table 5 revealed that during *Kharif* season, significantly maximum leaf area index (0.136, 0.805 and 2.180 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) followed by T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (0.123, 0.746 and 1.980 respectively). The minimum leaf area index (0.058, 0.383 and 0.813 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season, significantly maximum leaf area index (0.154, 0.878 and 2.242 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) was observed with T₅ (Silver black

polyethylene mulch) followed by T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (0.136, 0.817 and 2.072 respectively). The minimum leaf area index (0.064, 0.448 and 0.899 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

In both the seasons, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded maximum leaf area index. It is observed that plastic mulches directly affect micro climate around plant by modifying radiation budget (absorbing vs reflectivity) of the surface and decreasing the soil water and nutrient loss. (24)

Mulch could improve leaf photosynthetic capacity beside the role of polyethylene for enhancing root growth, thereby utilize each drop of water and nutrients and enhanced metabolic activities within plant during the period of growth and reproduction process develop leaves, width of leaf area, leaf area index with high leaf chlorophyll content which induce more photosynthetic rates. (29, 15, 13)

Table 5: Effect of mulching on Leaf area Index of ridge gourd

Treatments	30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS	
	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer
	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022
T ₁ : Paddy straw	0.093	0.111	0.631	0.702	1.536	1.683
T ₂ : Paddy husk	0.065	0.078	0.519	0.562	1.203	1.350
T ₃ : Groundnut husk	0.073	0.097	0.574	0.601	1.292	1.416
T ₄ : Leaf mould	0.063	0.074	0.456	0.487	1.025	1.163
T ₅ : Silver black polythene sheet	0.136	0.154	0.805	0.878	2.180	2.242
T ₆ : Clear transparent polythene sheet	0.123	0.136	0.746	0.817	1.980	2.072
T ₇ : Without mulch	0.058	0.064	0.383	0.448	0.813	0.899
S.Em±	0.001	0.001	0.007	0.008	0.017	0.019
CD at 5%	0.003	0.004	0.022	0.024	0.052	0.058

SPAD chlorophyll meter readings

The data presented in Table 6 revealed that during *Kharif* season, significantly maximum SPAD chlorophyll meter readings (39.13, 42.14 and 45.43 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (35.92, 37.70 and 42.30 respectively). The minimum SPAD chlorophyll readings (30.03, 31.26 and 35.47 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season, significantly maximum SPAD chlorophyll readings (39.22, 46.62 and 47.19 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS respectively) was observed with T₅ (Silver black

	30 DAS		60 DAS		90 DAS	
Treatments	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer

polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (37.91, 43.58 and 44.63 respectively). The minimum SPAD chlorophyll readings (30.97, 31.37 and 37.53 at 30, 60 and 90 DAS) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

Mulch could improve leaf photosynthetic capacity beside the role of polyethylene for enhancing root growth, as well as absorption of water and nutrients and thereby enhanced metabolic activities within plant during the period of growth and reproduction process. The enhanced leaf number, leaf width, leaf area, leaf area index with high leaf chlorophyll content induced more photosynthetic rate which increase the yields. (29, 15, 13)

Table 6: Effect of mulching on SPAD chlorophyll content of ridge gourd

	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022
T ₁ : Paddy straw	33.40	36.23	35.92	38.61	40.41	43.35
T ₂ : Paddy husk	32.52	33.87	33.81	35.78	35.96	42.78
T ₃ : Groundnut husk	32.73	34.54	34.05	37.24	38.70	39.66
T ₄ : Leaf mould	30.27	32.46	33.61	33.85	35.79	37.81
T ₅ : Silver black polythene sheet	39.13	39.22	42.14	46.62	45.43	47.19
T ₆ : Clear transparent polythene sheet	35.92	37.91	37.70	43.58	42.30	44.63
T ₇ : Without mulch	30.03	30.97	31.26	31.37	35.47	37.53
S.Em±	1.02	1.03	1.04	1.05	1.06	1.21
CD at 5%	3.13	3.18	3.19	3.23	3.26	3.74

Flowering parameters

Days to first male flower

From the data in Table 7, there was significant difference observed among the treatments with respect to days to first male flower during *Kharif* season. Significantly minimum days to first male flower (31.58 days) was recorded in T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (33.84 days), while the maximum days to first male flower (36.23 days) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season, among all the treatments, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded minimum days to first male flower (31.32 days) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (32.74 days), while the maximum days to first male flower (36.61 days) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

Table 7: Effect of mulching on days to first male flower, days to first female flower, days to 50 per cent flowering, sex ratio of ridge gourd

Treatments	Days to first male flower		Days to first female flower		Days to 50 per cent flowering		Sex ratio	
	Kharif 2021	Summer 2022	Kharif 2021	Summer 2022	Kharif 2021	Summer 2022	Kharif 2021	Summer 2022

T ₁ : Paddy straw	34.21	33.65	40.66	39.65	58.05	55.62	8.68	8.59
T ₂ : Paddy husk	35.06	34.93	40.92	40.83	65.64	62.73	8.70	8.64
T ₃ : Groundnut husk	34.83	34.76	41.71	40.01	63.18	60.59	8.84	8.70
T ₄ : Leaf mould	36.12	35.50	42.92	42.50	68.32	65.17	8.86	8.78
T ₅ : Silver black polythene sheet	31.58	31.32	37.66	36.26	49.49	48.83	8.29	8.23
T ₆ : Clear transparent polythene sheet	33.84	32.74	39.43	37.91	51.73	50.60	8.56	8.45
T ₇ : Without mulch	36.23	36.61	45.47	44.41	70.68	66.62	9.02	8.98
S.Em±	0.49	0.48	0.59	0.58	0.90	0.86	0.12	0.12
CD at 5%	1.50	1.49	1.80	1.77	2.78	2.65	0.37	0.37

In both the seasons, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded minimum days to first male flower. Early opening of male flowers due to the best treatments might be due to better nutritional status of the plant favoured by higher photosynthesis resulting in early initiation of flowering. (20,19, 33, 7, 23)

Days to first female flower

From the data in Table 7, it is clear that there was significant differences observed among the treatments with respect to days to first female flower during *Kharif* season. Significantly minimum days to first female flower (37.66 days) was recorded in T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (39.43 days), while the maximum days to first female flower (45.47 days) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season among all the treatments, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded minimum days to first female flower (36.26 days) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (37.91 days), while the maximum days to first female flower (44.41 days) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

In both the seasons, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded minimum days to female flower. Early opening of flowers could be attributed to the increased soil temperature of silver black plastic mulch which was directly related to early initiation of flowers. (8, 22, 21, 26)

Days to 50 per cent flowering

From the data in Table 7, it is clear that there was significant differences observed among the treatments with respect to days to 50 per cent flowering during *Kharif* season. Significantly minimum days to 50 per cent flowering (49.49 days) was recorded in T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (51.73 days), while the maximum days to 50 per cent flowering (70.68 days) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season among all the treatments, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded minimum days to 50 per cent flowering (48.83 days) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (50.60 days), while the maximum days to 50 per cent flowering (66.62 days) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

In both the seasons, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded minimum days to 50 per cent flowering. Early opening of flowers due to the best treatments might be due to better nutritional status of the plant favouring higher photosynthesis resulting in early initiation of flowering. (9,7,23)

Sex ratio

The data is presented in Table 7 revealed that during *Kharif* and Summer season, there was significant differences observed among all the treatments. Treatment T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) expressed the narrow sex ratio (8.29 and 8.23) which was on par with the T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (8.56 and 8.45) and T₁ (paddy straw) (8.68 and 8.59), whereas wider sex ratio (9.02 and 8.98) was observed in T₇ (without mulch) during

Kharif and Summer seasons respectively.

In both the seasons, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded the best values in terms of growth parameters and flowering parameters ultimately resulting in the narrowest sex ratio of this treatment when compared to the other treatments. (12, 32)

Yield parameters

Days to first fruit harvest

The data presented in Table 8 revealed that there was significant differences observed among the treatments with respect to days to first fruit harvest during *Kharif* season. Significantly minimum days to first fruit harvest (42.73 days) was recorded in T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (43.34 days), while the maximum days to first fruit harvest (50.92 days) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season among all the treatments, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded minimum days to first fruit harvest (42.22 days) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (42.28 days), while the maximum days to first fruit harvest (48.86 days) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

Days to last fruit harvest

The data enunciated on days to last fruit harvest as influenced by the effect of mulching on yield in ridge gourd is presented in Table 8.

From the data it is clear that there was significant differences observed among the treatments with respect to days to last fruit harvest during *Kharif* season. Significantly maximum days to last fruit harvest (134.43 days) was recorded in T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (133.67 days), while the minimum days to last fruit harvest (118.68 days) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season among all the treatments, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded maximum days to last fruit harvest (134.67 days) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (134.03 days), while the minimum days to last fruit harvest (120.23 days) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

In both the seasons, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded minimum days to first fruit harvest and maximum days to last fruit harvest. These could be attributed to the increased soil temperature of plastic mulch which was directly related to early days to fruit harvest and maximum days to last fruit harvest (22,21,26)

Table 8: Effect of mulching on days to first fruit harvest, days to last fruit harvest, fruit length (cm), fruit width (mm) of ridge gourd

Treatments	Days to first fruit harvest		Days to last fruit harvest		Fruit length (cm)		Fruit width (mm)	
	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer	Kharif	Summer
	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022	2021	2022
T ₁ : Paddy straw	46.56	45.31	124.20	124.07	38.37	38.22	29.71	30.53
T ₂ : Paddy husk	48.47	47.01	123.11	122.45	34.73	35.75	27.01	27.86
T ₃ : Groundnut husk	47.81	46.43	125.06	123.78	35.52	36.37	27.91	28.75
T ₄ : Leaf mould	49.16	47.65	120.32	122.05	33.07	33.81	24.35	27.48
T ₅ : Silver black polythene sheet	42.73	42.22	134.43	134.67	42.25	44.63	34.07	35.30
T ₆ : Clear transparent polythene sheet	43.34	42.28	133.67	134.03	40.58	41.45	33.67	33.74
T ₇ : Without mulch	50.92	48.86	118.68	120.23	32.40	34.58	24.02	26.60
S.Em±	0.67	0.65	1.69	1.70	1.05	1.06	0.98	0.99
CD at 5%	2.06	1.99	5.19	5.23	3.24	3.28	3.03	3.07

Fruit length (cm)

From the data in Table 8, it is clear that there was significant differences revealed among the treatments with respect to fruit length that during *Kharif* season. Significantly maximum fruit length (42.25 cm) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (40.58 cm). The minimum fruit length (32.40 cm) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season among all the treatments, T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) recorded maximum fruit length (44.63 cm) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (41.45 cm). The minimum fruit length (34.58 cm) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

Fruit width (mm)

The data recorded on fruit width as influenced by the effect of mulching is presented in Table 8.

There was significant differences observed among the treatments with respect to fruit width during *Kharif* season. Significantly maximum fruit width (34.07 mm) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (33.67 mm). The minimum fruit width (24.02 mm) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season among all the treatments, maximum fruit width (35.30 mm) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) recording the fruit width of 33.74 mm. The minimum fruit width (26.60 mm) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

Fruit length and fruit width influenced significantly which could be attributed to more conducive conditions for plant growth and production like lesser weed growth and conservation of moisture for a long time, thus resulting in increased uptake of nutrients and water by plants due to mulching. (22, 37,18,35,6,2)

Average fruit weight (g)

The data enunciated on the average fruit weight as influenced by the effect of mulching on yield in ridge gourd is presented in Table 9.

There was significant difference observed among the treatments with respect to average fruit weight during *Kharif* season. Significantly maximum average fruit weight (153.87 g) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) recording average fruit weight of 152.94 g. The minimum average fruit weight (136.28 g) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

Table 9: Effect of mulching on average fruit weight (g), number of fruits per vine of

Treatments	Average fruit weight (g)		Number of fruits per vine	
	Kharif 2021	Summer 2022	Kharif 2021	Summer 2022
T ₁ : Paddy straw	143.21	150.72	14.27	14.75
T ₂ : Paddy husk	138.82	145.64	13.43	13.52
T ₃ : Groundnut husk	140.74	147.14	13.64	13.82
T ₄ : Leaf mould	136.69	139.92	13.05	13.30
T ₅ : Silver black polythene sheet	153.87	157.53	16.90	16.96
T ₆ : Clear transparent polythene sheet	152.94	154.30	16.36	16.75
T ₇ : Without mulch	136.28	137.04	11.97	12.60
S.Em±	1.92	1.98	0.19	0.19
CD at 5%	5.92	6.10	0.57	0.59

ridge gourd

During Summer season among all the treatments, maximum average fruit weight (157.53 g) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) (154.30 g). The minimum average fruit weight (137.04 g) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

Increase in weight of fruit under plastic mulch might be due to the increased uptake of nutrients by the plant, which resulted in more vigorous plant growth and production of more photosynthates and are translocated to the sink. (10,8,18,35,2,26)

Number of fruits per vine

The data pertaining to number of fruits per vine as influenced by the effect of mulching on yield in ridge gourd is presented in the Table 9.

All the treatments differed significantly with respect to number of fruits per vine during *Kharif* season. Significantly maximum number of fruits per vine (16.90) were observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) recording number of fruits per vine of 16.36. The minimum number of fruits per vine (11.97) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season among all the treatments, maximum number of fruits per vine (16.96) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) recording number of fruits per vine of 16.75. The minimum number of fruits per vine (12.60) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

More number of fruits due to mulching could be due to its direct effect on suppressing weed flora and indirect effect on uptake of nutrients under the improved conditions of soil, particularly with respect to moisture availability and moderation of soil temperature. More over mulching with plastic mulch resulted in increased length of main vine which might probably responsible for the greater number of fruits. (10,16,2,25,26,17)

Fruit yield per vine (kg)

The data presented in Table 10 revealed that during *Kharif* season significantly maximum fruit yield per vine (2.60) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) recording the fruit yield per vine of 2.50. The minimum fruit yield per vine (1.63) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season significantly maximum fruit yield per vine (2.67) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) recording the fruit yield per vine of 2.58. The minimum fruit yield per vine (1.73) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

Plants under polyethylene mulch (silver on top black at bottom) produced larger fruit and gave higher fruit yield per vine because of better plant growth due to favorable hydro-thermal regime of soil and complete weed free environment due to mulch. (5)

Fruit yield per plot (kg)

The data presented in Table 10 revealed that during *Kharif* season, significantly maximum fruit yield per plot (25.84) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) followed by T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) recording the fruit yield per plot of 25.02. The minimum fruit yield per plot (16.31) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season, significantly maximum fruit yield per plot (26.72) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) recording the fruit yield per plot of 25.98. The minimum fruit yield per plot (17.27) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

Table 10: Effect of mulching on fruit yield per vine (kg), fruit yield per plot (kg), fruit yield per hectare (t) of ridge gourd

Treatments	Fruit yield per vine (kg)		Fruit yield per plot (kg)		Fruit yield per hectare (t)	
	Kharif 2021	Summer 2022	Kharif 2021	Summer 2022	Kharif 2021	Summer 2022
T ₁ : Paddy straw	2.04	2.22	20.44	22.23	18.92	20.58
T ₂ : Paddy husk	1.86	1.97	18.64	19.69	17.26	18.23
T ₃ : Groundnut husk	1.92	2.03	19.20	20.33	17.77	18.83
T ₄ : Leaf mould	1.78	1.86	17.84	18.61	16.52	17.23
T ₅ : Silver black polythene sheet	2.60	2.67	25.84	26.72	23.92	24.74
T ₆ : Clear transparent polythene sheet	2.50	2.58	25.02	25.98	23.17	24.06
T ₇ : Without mulch	1.63	1.73	16.31	17.27	15.10	15.99
S.Em±	0.03	0.03	0.26	0.28	0.24	0.25
CD at 5%	0.08	0.08	0.80	0.85	0.74	0.79

Fruit yield per hectare (tonnes)

The data presented in Table 10 revealed that during *Kharif* season, significantly maximum fruit yield per hectare (23.92) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) recording the fruit yield per hectare of 23.17. The minimum fruit yield per hectare (15.10) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

During Summer season, significantly maximum fruit yield per hectare (24.74) was observed with T₅ (Silver black polyethylene mulch) and was at par with T₆ (clear transparent polyethylene mulch) recording the fruit yield per hectare of 24.06. The minimum fruit yield per hectare (15.99) was recorded with T₇ (without mulch).

The increased yield recorded due to plastic mulch could be attributed to more efficient weed suppression and conservation of soil moisture for a longer time which resulted in better growth and yield. (11,36,1,25,30,31,34,17)

Conclusion

From the study it was concluded that different mulches have positive effect on growth and yield in ridge gourd. The experimental results revealed that the silver black polyethylene mulch was showed highest results compared to other mulches. Hence silver black polyethylene mulch was proved to be the best treatment in ridge gourd.

Reference:

1. Ajay N, Brandon H, Carpenter Laura K, Weieneth. 2012. Effect of plastic mulch and trellises on cucumber production in high tunnels. Iowa State Research Farm Progress Reports. Paper 1909. 2012.
2. Aniekwe NL, Anike, NT. Effects of different mulching materials and plant densities on the environment, growth and yield of cucumber. IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2015; 8(11): 64-72.
3. Anonymous, 2019a. Area and production published by Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperation, (HAPIS). www.aps.dac.gov.in
4. Anonymous. 2019b. Crop wise area and production of horticultural crops in Telangana state. www.telanganahorticulture.nic.in
5. Arancibia RA, Motsenbocker CE. Differential watermelon fruit size distribution in response to plastic mulch and spun bonded polyester rowcover. Hort Technolgy. 2008. 18: 45-52.
6. Banjara N. Studies on response of fertigation and black plastic mulch on growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum frutescens* L.). Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, <http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/88043>. 2014.
7. Bindhu C. Studies on the effect of phenotypes and levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of kakrol (*Momordica dioica* Roxb.). Dr.Y.S.R.Horticultural University, e granth. 2014.

8. Deoraoji and Chandrashekhar, K. Effect of different mulches and growing conditions in musk melon. Mahatma Phule krishi Vidyapeeth, e granth. 2003.
9. Dubey AK, Gaur GS. Biochemical studies of four strains of kakrol (*Momordica dioica* Roxb.). Vegetable Science.1992; 17(1): 31-37.
10. Farias-Larios, J, Sandoval C, Radillo F, Lopez JG, Guzman S. Effect of mulch type and color on honeydew melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) production in Western Mexico. Hortscience. 1998; 33(3):180: 475.
11. Gebologlu N, Saglam, N. The effect of different plant spacing and mulching materials on the yield and fruit quality of pickling cucumber. Acta Horticulture. 2002; 579: 603-607.
12. Hallidri M. Comparison of different mulching materials on growth, yield and quality of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Acta Horticulturae. 2001; 559: 49-53.
13. Helaly AA, Goda Y, Abd El-Rehim, AS, Mohamed AA, El-Zeiny OAH. Effect of polyethylene mulching type on *Physalis pubescens* growth, yield and fruit quality. Adv Plants Agric Res, in press. 2017.
14. Lourduraj C, Padmini AK, Rajendran R, Ravi V, Pandiarajan T, Sreenarayanan VV. Effect of plastic mulching on bhendi *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench. South Indian Horticulture. 1997; 45(3&4): 128-133.
15. Mostafa YGA. Physiological studies on growth and production of Husk tomato (*Physalis pubescence* L.). M.Sc. Horticulture department, Faculty of Agriculture, Al-Azhar University. 2015.
16. Mutetwa M, Mtaita, T. 2014. Effect of different mulch colors on cucumber production. Journal of Global Innovative Agricultural Society of Science. 2014. 2(4): 178-184.
17. Nodar A, Abdelrahman, Elgailani A, Abdalla, Elshiekh A, Ibrahim Ahmed M, El Naim. The effect of plastic mulch on growth and yield of rain-fed cowpea and water melon in North Kordofan state of Sudan. World Journal of Agricultural Research. 2016. 4(5): 139-142.
18. Odedara MD. Effect of different mulches on growth, yield and quality of musk melon cv. Sweet Queen. JAU, Junagadh, <http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/5810005065>. 2011.
19. Ojeifo IM, Nzekwe U, Akpovwovwo NF. Growth and yield of five varieties of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in southern Nigeria. Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and the Social Sciences. 2008;69(2): 62-63.
20. Pandey K, Pramod K. Evaluation of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) hybrids under polyhouse condition compared with open field condition. Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, <http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/5810003855>. 2002.
21. Parmar HN, Polara ND, Viradiya RR. Effect of mulching material on growth, yield and quality of water melon (*Citrullus lanatus* Thunb) Cv. Kiran. Universal Journal of Agricultural Research. 2013; 1(2): 30-37.
22. Parmar, HN. Effect of mulching material on growth, yield and quality of water melon (*Citrullus lanatus* Thunb) Cv. Kiran. JAU, Junagadh, e granth. 2011.

23. Pragathi K. Evaluation of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) hybrids for production potential and qualitative traits under net house conditions. Dr.Y.S.R.Horticultural University, <http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/69844>. 2014.
24. Rani R, Nirala SK, Suresh R. Effect of fertigation and mulch on yield of pointed gourd in calcareous soil of north Bihar. *Environment and Ecology*. 2012; 30(3): 641-645.
25. Roudan, MA and Vahid, A. Mulch effect on the characteristics of the Lays cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) greenhouse in city Rudan. *Journal of Novel Applied Sciences*. 2015; 4(8): 864-867.
26. Sageer Khan, Mahender Pal and Vijay Kumar. Influence of different mulches on growth and yield of sponge gourd (*Luffa cylindrica* L.). *Plant Archives*. 2015;15(1): 393-395.
27. Singh B, Kumar M and Mehto SP. Advancing Bitter Gourd Crop by Plastic Mulching: A Profitable and Sustainable Technology for Peri-Urban Areas of Northern India. *Acta Horticulture (ISHS)*. 2007;731:199-202.
28. Sheshadri VS, Parthasarthy UA. Cucurbits in vegetable crops In: Bose TK, Kabir J, Maity TK, Parthasarthy VA, Som MG. (editors.). *Vegetable crops*. 1980. 496-497.
29. Singh R, Kumar S, Nangare, DD, Meena MS. Drip irrigation and black polyethylene mulch influence on growth, yield and water-use efficiency of tomato. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2009; 4(12): 1427-1430.
30. Siwek P, Domagala-Swiątkiewicz I, Kalisz A. 2015. The influence of degradable polymer mulches on soil properties and cucumber yield. *Agrochimica*. 2015; 59 (2): 108-123.
31. Soleymani, R., Hassandokht, M.R. and Abdoosi, V. 2015. Mulch and planting method on quantitative traits of cucumber. *International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research*. 6(1):28-35.
32. Suresh R, Kumar A. Effect of drip irrigation and mulch on pointed gourd in calcareous soil of north Bihar. *Indian Journal of Soil Conservation*. 2006; 32(1): 83-85.
33. Sushmitha P. 2013. Evaluation of certain varieties and hybrids of musk melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) for yield and quality traits. Dr.Y.S.R. Horticultural University. <http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/69582>. 2013.
34. Tapani H, Pauliina P, Antti T, Jukka A. Effects of different paper mulches on soil temperature and yield of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) in the temperate zone. *Agricultural and Food Science*, 2015; 24: 52–58.
35. Thippa Reddy KVM. Effect of different levels of fertigation and mulching on growth, yield and quality of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) var. Arka Lohit. University of Agricultural Sciences GKVK, Bangalore, <http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/82368>. 2013.
36. Tomasz Spizewski, Barbara Frąszczak, Alina Kaluzewicz, Włodzimierz Krzesinski and Jolanta Lisiecka. The effect of black polyethylene mulch on yield of field-grown cucumber. *Acta Science Polonorum, Hortorum Cultus*. 2010. 9(3): 221-229.
37. Tshering LB. Studies on the effect of mulches and nitrogen on growth, yield and

quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) Moench. <http://krishikosh.egranth.ac.in/handle/1/69331>. 2011.

UNDER PEER REVIEW