

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96331
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of NaCl Salt Stress on Biochemical parameters of Chickpea(cicerarietinum l.) Genotypes.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This work is very interesting from the point of view of Effect of NaCl Salt Stress on Biochemical parameters of Chickpea (cicerarietinum l.) Genotypes. In my opinion, Authors must take care in this aspect. The absence of statistical analyzes invalidates discussions about differences in the biochemical composition. Without this statistical analysis data, I consider that both, discussion, and conclusions could not be valid. The manuscript must be extensively revised, and some essays must be included. Below are my suggestions and queries: 1. The abstract must be improved: Firstly, remove description of the materials. Secondly, develop the objectives and aims. finally add keywords 2. Improve the introduction part. 3. The data must be rewritten and presented with the corresponding statistical analysis, add error bars in all figures 4. The conclusion section could be condensed to a take home message based on the findings in the study. Now a large part is also a summary which could be found in the abstract as well.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language and style are fine/minor spell check required	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fouad El Mansouri
Department, University & Country	Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Morocco