

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_96330</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nutrient content, nutrient uptake and soil nutrient status of baby corn as influenced by varieties and levels of fertilizers</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1.The manuscript important for scientific community</p> <p>2.Title of the article suitable, good and clear.</p> <p>3.The sentence structure in the abstract needs to be rearranged: Aims, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results, and conclusion. Material and Methods with subtitle : area study, experimental design, data collection methods/measurement of research results, data analysis</p> <p>4.There are several writing deficiencies that need to be fixed: citing references follow the guidelines for author</p> <p>5.The manuscript is good, supported by new research methods and complete data presented.</p> <p>6. The references sufficient and some references are very old. Need to add the recent references</p> <p>Writing the manuscript is adjusted to the guidelines for the author.</p> <p>Consistency of writing units of mass, area in English:kg/ha..... kg.ha-1, t.ha-1, etc</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Dwi Susanto</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mulawarman University, Indonesia</b>