

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_96330</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nutrient content, nutrient uptake and soil nutrient status of baby corn as influenced by varieties and levels of fertilizers</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Study has got relevance in agricultural science for increasing yield</b></p> <p>2. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>3. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>References for</b> (i) <b>Walkley Black method</b> (ii) <b>Jackson 1973</b> (iii) <b>Subbiah and Asijja 1965, in the methodology section missing in the reference section</b></p> <p><b>References may be either numbered or named not both in the text.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Minor changes required</p> <p>(i) Page 2 line 9- Instead of 'and availability' it should be 'and it should be available'</p> <p>(ii) Page 2, line 11- Instead of 'can fail' it should be 'results in failure to achieve'</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Aliva Patnaik</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sambalpur University, India</b>