

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_96304</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of IPM modules against major pest of okra,(<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L.) in Saran District (Bihar)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, it is very important to reduce pesticide pollution and improve the production, either quantity or quality.</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>Somewhat</b></p> <p><b>Yes.</b></p> <p><b>No.</b></p> <p><b>No.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>This manuscript could not be accepted, as it is, MAJOR corrections are needed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- In the Abstract part, the author wrote (M<sub>3</sub> &amp; M<sub>4</sub>), while in the Materials part the author wrote (Module I, Module II, Module III, and Module IV), please uniform the editing.</li> <li>- The author carried out his experiments in the Autumn, of 2017 only, but in any field studies, at least two seasons or two years must be carried out for comparison.</li> <li>- The author did not mention the total areas for his experiments (4 modules, comprising 17 treatments) with 10 replicates. The descriptions of the experimental design need to be rewritten with more details.</li> <li>- The tables did not express the obtained results of the 17 treatments that were carried out with different treatments, as well as no statistical analysis was carried out too between the treatments in a module and/or between the tested modules.</li> <li>- Tables must be rewritten in the correct manner and complete details.</li> <li>- Because of there are 10 replicates for each treatment (17 treatments), the mean number in the table for each value must be as Mean±SE.</li> <li>- No needs to transfer the values to arcsine values, the real values are enough.</li> <li>- The author did not mention what method was used for mean comparison, is it LSD or Duncan's Multiple Range Test, or any other method?</li> <li>- The Discussion is very concise and weak.</li> <li>- All in the Reference list and references in the text must be numbered, rearranged, and edit as per the journal policy. Back to the attached corrected references list.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohamed Ahmed Gesraha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Research Centre, Egypt</b>