

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96287
Title of the Manuscript:	Construction of a Knowledge Test to assess Rubber Growers' knowledge of Rubber Plantation Development and Extension Schemes in North East India
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes . Rubber being an important industrial crop . this may be helpful in extension of rubber growing practices. Yes title is appropriate as per objective of study. Yes Comprehensive and upto the mark. However Study design is not mentioned which needs to be mentioned. Appropriate Methodology and approach of paper is scientific and well organised. References are appropriate.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes language is appropriate	
Optional/General comments	Paper seems to be appropriate for publication	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruchira Shukla
Department, University & Country	Navsari Agricultural University, India