

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96272
Title of the Manuscript:	Dynamics of zinc fractions in soil as affected by zinc fertilization in a maize-maize cropping sequence in Upper Brahmaputra Valley Zone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. 2. Yes 3. Yes the abstract is comprehensive and written very well. 4. Yes its appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct however it needs some improvement w.r.t. good scientific language. 6. References are sufficient. However, some very old references may be deleted.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. Manuscript found suitable for publications after minor corrections.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. R. Dhiman
Department, University & Country	ICAR-IARI, India