

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96174
Title of the Manuscript:	The Beneficial Opportunities of Climate Change for Enhanced Socio-Economic Development: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The item is very important both for Scientific community and others. The text is clear and concise also easy to read and to understand. However, they are lack new information and lack synthesis on the item. Indeed the impacts of Climate Change are negative and positive but the negative ones could be disastrous with irreversible damage; that why we pay more attention to the negative impacts rather than the positive ones. 2. Yes it is, but if the author (s) add a case study it will be better (of course the case study must be indicated also and added in the text) 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive 4. Yes the structure is appropriate. 5. The manuscript gives a true idea, which is the existence of positive impacts as the negative impacts of Climate Change, but this idea must be supported by – a case study- of the fact. If the author (s) add it, it will be better and more profitable for the readers; - In my opinion the manuscript could be published as it is, but for more interest to the readers a case study must be added or a synthesis table with 2 columns one for the main negative impacts and the other for the main positive impacts and of courses with references. 6.They are few recent references, if the author (s) could enriched the text by adding more references it will be better - In the case study, a success story of a positive impact of Climate Change Adaptation must be added with focusing on the benefits points, to support the idea of the text on the positive impacts of Climate Change. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>In the references precise please if it's 2007 or 2008 for Nhemachena and Hassan, Yes it is</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This article is a review, it must contain more and more references and many synthesis mainly on the positive impacts of Climate Change Adaptation, it is not the case with this article. However, it is a reminder which is good, because we often forget that they are also positive impacts too, such as : the development of NGOs; the motivation of Research and the bringing of the concerned people and countries by the Climate Change together, so the the development of socio economical aspects;</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zakia Bouzoubaâ
Department, University & Country	National Agronomic Research Institute, Morocco