

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96174
Title of the Manuscript:	The Beneficial Opportunities of Climate Change for Enhanced Socio-Economic Development: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This paper seems to be covering a very interesting topic, investigating the The Beneficial Opportunities of Climate Change for Enhanced Socio-Economic Development: A Review, I found this paper nearly challenging to read because the language was particularly difficult. Many sentences seemed to try to smash together 3 or 4 ideas into a single, awkward sentence that used a variety of clauses to try to link the ideas. I found myself having to reread nearly every sentence to extract meaning.</p> <p>Some of references need to be updated; they are too old like 1999 ets. Correct the reference, just like this some references are not according to the journal format. Please correct it. Include some study implications, limitations, and future prospects in the conclusions section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Polish the English to avoid typos or incomplete sentences along the paper. Therefore, language needs to be edited.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Moataz Eliw
Department, University & Country	Al-Azhar University, Egypt