

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96167
Title of the Manuscript:	Resuscitating Ecological Balance in Palnadu District Watershed Development Programme Using Micro-Watershed Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. The title of the article is suitable for publication Abstract is not comprehensive enough. Kindly rewrite your abstract and let it capture various aspect of this paper. Also follow these journal guidelines. The manuscript is scientifically correct. Check through, cite and list all your references properly. Also Endeavour to add more cite recent references between 2019-2023. Kindly rewrite your conclusion for better understanding and in depth study. Improve on your analysis, results and discussion. Results should be presented with clarity and precision.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, It is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	Disregard general statement in your introduction and conclusion. Let it reflect your findings and cogent contribution to knowledge. This will enhance visibility of your work after publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oluwadare Joshua Oyebode
Department, University & Country	Afe Babalola University, Nigeria