

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_96167</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Resuscitating Ecological Balance in Palnadu District Watershed Development Programme Using Micro-Watershed Approach</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Please review the quality of your English throughout the manuscript. 2. Please check Spacing and formatting throughout the manuscript. 3. The figures are important for the article. However, they are too small. Please increase the size of the figures. 4. I recommend the authors to improve Introduction adding more information about the importance of that this information may have and how this can be used in a societal interest approach. 5. The state of the art must be improved as well. Please add a deep bibliography revision of previous works that have been conducted all around the world and how they have been used. 6. Conclusions must be improved as well referring the importance of the results. 7. First, show the results of your study and then the discussion of your study comparing your result by mentioning the previous similar studies.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes after minor revision, manuscript acceptable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Arvind Dhaloiya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>PAU, India</b>