

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_96097
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of spacing and manganese on Growth and Yield of sesame (Sesamum Indicum L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. Manuscript is scientifically correct and have some practical importance with the scientific community. Some correction should be done in the title. I suggest this title "Effect of proper spacing and application of manganese on Growth and Yield of sesame (Sesamum Indicum L.)" . Some correction should be done in the abstract section. Result and conclusion section should be added properly. Objective of the study should be mentioned in the abstract section. The manuscript is scientifically correct. Reference section should be changed. More number of references should be added.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language used in the manuscript is properly written and grammatically correct,	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arindam Ghosh
Department, University & Country	Sister Nivedita University, India