

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_96053</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Different organic manures and their levels affected nutrient status and soil microbial activity under Rose (Rosa bourboniana Desportes) cultivation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes. It is important in the field of organic nutrient management.</b>  <b>Slight change is needed.</b>  <b>Some changes are necessary as indicated in manuscript.</b>  <b>Yes.</b>  <b>Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</b>  <b>The references are sufficient. However, they should be properly arranged as per the style of the journal. One reference viz., Andersen 2005, which is not cited in the text may be omitted from the references; like wise another reference viz., IFOAM EU GROUP, 2010, which is omitted in the text may be omitted from the references also.</b>  <b>In some references the journals are present in expanded forms in some in abbreviated forms, they should be presented uniformly as per the style of the journal.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	It is OK. Some changes suggested in the manuscript may be carried out.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper may be considered for acceptance after the authors carry out all the corrections.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>B.G. Shivakumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ICAR-Indian Grassland and Fodder Research Institute, India</b>