

Original Research Article

Optimizing the methods and schedule of fertilization escalated nutrient uptake, nutrient use efficiency and dry matter yield of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.)

Abstract:

A field study was performed at Regional Research Station, Uchani (Karnal) of CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India during 2020-21 to ascertain the role of precision nutrient management in enhancing nutrient availability to plants by amending the schedule and method of N and K fertilization in spring planted sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) crop under wide spacing (120 cm). The experiment was devised under split plot design with three replications. The main plot treatments consisted of two methods of fertilizer placement (B1-broadcasting and B2-band placement) while sub plot treatments included four schedules of application of recommended dose of N and K (RDN+RDK) in five splits (T1), six splits(T2), seven splits (T3) and T4 (RDN in three splits, full dose of P and K at planting). All the main and sub plot treatments showed a remarkable influence on nutrient uptake(kg ha⁻¹), partial factor (kg kg⁻¹) productivity, dry matter accumulation(t ha⁻¹) and plant macro-nutrient content. B1 and T1 among main and sub plot treatments respectively lead to greater nutrient uptake, partial factor productivity of NPK and highest dry matter yield.

Comment [A1]: Specify the findings more elaborately and exhibits data that found outstanding.

Key words: Sugarcane, Fertilizer schedule, Split application, Nitrogen, Potassium, band Placement, nutrient uptake, dry matter, partial factor productivity

1. Introduction:

Nutrient use efficiency (NUE) is a centrally focused concept for the evaluation of efficiency of crop production systems. It can be highly impacted by fertilizer management. In the recent years, partial factor productivities (yield obtained per unit of nutrient applied) for N, P and K are exhibiting a downward trend in India suggesting a lack of efficient nutrient management (Fixen *et al.*, 2015). Nutrient management must be both coherent and effective to enhance productivity. As there has been an unprecedented surge in the cost of fertilizers in recent years due to expanding gap in demand and supply (Khandgave, 2002), there has been increased emphasis on improving nutrient use efficiency to maintain a proper supply of nutrients to crops during the active growth stage. This led to the development of efficient nutrient management strategies such as 4R Nutrient Stewardship, which emphasizes on delivering nutrient from right source, in the right place, at the right rate, and at the right time (Bruulsema *et al.*, 2016). The nutrient uptake and dry matter accumulation patterns of sugarcane crop follow a sigmoid or “S” shaped

curve which denotes slow early uptake, reaches maximum during the active growth phase, and then declines as the crop proceeds towards maturity. Rate of plant nutrient uptake is therefore is not uniform throughout the crop life period. Timed and targeted applications of fertilizer N and K at specific growth stages are instrumental to an extreme extent in improving the yield of exhaustive crops such as sugarcane. Timed and targeted applications may also be beneficial to reduce environmental impacts of nutrient loss from soil (Sommer *et al.*, 2004). Positioning the desirable nutrients strategically where they can be easily acquired by growing roots enables a plant to develop properly and realize its maximum potential yield, under the environmental conditions available for the growth. Concentrating the macro-nutrients in proximity of plant roots in the bands near crop rows can lead to their enhance availability (Ma *et al.*, 2013).The acquisition of nutrients is one of the principal functions of plant roots. Nutrients enter inside the roots from the soil solution and when the concentration of nutrients in the soil solution increases their absorption by plant roots also increases. A plant's root architecture modifies during the different growth stages and responds to its local environment such as nutrient concentration (Zhang and Barber, 1992). Root proliferation takes place when plant roots comes in contact with concentrated zones of either N or P and results in increased nutrient uptake. Fertilizer applications under band placement either at the soil surface or at some depth below increases the nutrients concentration into a smaller soil volume. This higher soil solution concentrations accelerates the nutrient diffusion rates along with greater quantities of nutrients moving through mass flow which improves the replenishment rate of nutrients to plant roots (McLaughlin *et al.*, 2011). Band applications are probably the most efficient placement method under lower soil fertility conditions. Placing nutrients below the soil surface can reduce nutrient losses occurring through runoff, volatilization and leaching especially in case of Nitrogen. The present study aims to optimize suitable schedules and methods of fertilizer application to maximize their use under long term exhaustive crop like sugarcane.

2. Materials and Methodology:

2.1. Experimental Site and Climate

Field experiment was performed at Regional Research Station (Uchani), Karnal of CCS Haryana Agricultural University, located at latitude of 29°43'42.19" N and longitude of 76°58'49.88" E and at an altitude of 253 meters above mean sea level. It is more or less equidistant falling almost midway between New Delhi and Chandigarh.

The climate of the experimental site is sub-tropical with mean maximum temperature ranging between 34-39 °C in summer while mean minimum temperature falls in the range of 6-7 °C in winter. Most of the precipitation is received in the form of rainfall during the months of July to September with few showers experienced during December to late spring.

Comment [A2]: Provide average rainfall during the experiment in value

2.2 Soil of Experimental Plot

The field at Regional Research Station (Uchani), Karnal of CCSHAU exhibited uniformity in fertility gradient. To determine the Initial soil fertility status of experimental field before the planting of crop, soil sampling was performed and four representative soil samples were collected randomly from the entire field at a depth of 0-30 cm before the final layout of experiment was implemented. The analysis was carried out by strictly following established protocols and standard procedures. From the interpretation of results. Chemical analysis of soil revealed that it had clay loam texture, alkaline nature, medium organic carbon content, low available N and medium P and K content.

Table 1: Initial physio-chemical properties of the soil under experiment

S. No.	Parameter	Value/ Category	Analytical Method Used
1.	Soil Texture	Clay loam	International pipette method (Piper,1966)
2.	pH _(1:2)	8.28	pH was determined using digital pH meter
3.	EC _(1:2) (dS m ⁻¹)	0.25	EC was determined using digital EC meter
4.	OC (%)	0.48	Wet digestion method (Walkley and Black, 1934)
5.	Av. N (kg ha ⁻¹)	111.55	Alkaline Potassium Permanganate Method (Subbiah and Asija, 1956)
6.	Av. P (kg ha ⁻¹)	18.88	Sodium Bicarbonate Extractable P method (Olsen <i>et al.</i> , 1954)
7.	Av. K (kg ha ⁻¹)	201.40	Ammonium Acetate Extractable K method (Jackson, 1973)

pH-power of hydrogen, EC-electrical conductivity, SOC-soil organic carbon, N-nitrogen, P-phosphorus, K-potassium

2.3 Treatments and Layout of the Experiment:

The experiment was devised under Split Plot Design with three replications. The experiment included two main plot treatments (Methods of fertilizer application) and four sub-plot treatments (Fertilizer application ~~in different no. of splits i.e. 5, 6, 7 and 3 splits~~). Area of each plot was 57 m².

Comment [A3]: Need the information on how phosphate fertilizer was applied.

Table 2: Treatment Details:

Main plot treatments (two): Methods of fertilizer application	
B1	Broadcasting
B2	Band placement- 3 inches away from cane clump and 3 inches below soil surface
Sub Plot treatments (four): Number of splits of recommended dose of nitrogen and potassium (RDN+RDK):	
T1	RDN+RDK in five splits (Basal 10% and remaining dose at 45, 75, 90 and 120 DAP)
T2	RDN+RDK in six splits (Basal 10% and remaining dose at 45, 75, 90, 120 and 150 DAP)
T3	RDN+RDK in seven splits (Basal 10% and remaining dose at 45, 75, 90, 120, 150 and 180 DAP)
T4	Recommended dose and schedule of nutrient applications (Half of total N and full dose of P and K at planting and rest of the N at 45 and 90 DAP)

Basal dose was applied in furrows. Broadcasting and band application were initiated after basal application. Banding was done through plough sole.




Fig 1: Application of fertilizer in band at a distance of 3 inch away from cane clump and three inch below soil surface at one side of plant row.

Table 3: Amount of fertilizer source of nutrient N, P and K applied at the time of sowing and at different time intervals after sowing as per recommendation of CCS Haryana Agricultural University:

Fertilizer applied at the time of sowing			
	Urea (g/plot)	Muriate of potash (MOP) in g/plot	Diammonium phosphate (DAP) in Kg/plot
T1	190	50	6.2
T2	190	50	6.2
T3	190	50	6.2
T4	630	480	6.2
Fertilizer applied after basal dose at different time intervals			
	Urea (g/plot) per split	MOP(g/plot) per split	Time of application

T1	367	179	45, 75,90 and 120days after planting(DAP)
T2	294	143	45, 75,90, 120 and 150 DAP
T3	245	119	45, 75,90, 120,150 and 180 DAP
T4	545	0	45 and 90 DAP

Recommended dose of N,P and K in sugarcane is 150 kg N/ha, 50kg P₂O₅/ha and 50 kg K₂O/ha respectively.

2.4 Plant analysis

2.4.1. Total Nitrogen (%):

Total Nitrogen was determined calorimetrically by using Nessler's reagent method (Lindner, 1944). The intensity of orange color thus developed is measured on spectrophotometer at 440 nm wavelength.

2.4.2 Total Phosphorus (%):

Total Phosphorus was determined by Ammonium-vanado-molybdate yellow color method (Koenig and Johnson, 1942). The intensity of yellow color thus developed is measured on spectrophotometer at 440 nm wavelength.

2.4.3 Total Potassium (%):

Total Potassium was determined by Flame photometry method (Isaac and Kerber, 1971) using flame photometer

2.5 Dry matter yield (t ha⁻¹):

Dry matter was calculated by taking the average dry weight of five canes from the plot and then multiplying the average dry matter of one plant with total number of millable canes.

2.6 Nutrient uptake (kg ha⁻¹):

The uptake of macronutrients (N,P and K) was calculated by the following formula:

$$\text{Nutrient uptake (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{N\%/P\%/K\% X dry matter (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)}}{100}$$

2.7 Partial factor productivity (kg ha⁻¹):

Partial factor productivity (kg ha⁻¹) was calculated from the following formula:

$$\text{Partial factor productivity of N/P/K (kg kg}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{\text{Cane Yield (kg)}}{\text{Amount of nutrient N/P/K added (kg)}}$$

3.7 Statistical analysis:

Comment [A4]: Information on planting material, source and package of practice need to be mentioned.

Statistical analysis was carried out by employing OPSTAT software tool developed by Dept. of Statistics, CCS Haryana Agricultural University. Critical Difference (CD) at 5% level of significance was worked out through two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) as described by Sheoran *et al.*(1998).

3. Results and Discussion:

3.1 Effects of different treatments of fertilizer application on plant nutrient content of sugarcane crop

3.1.1 Nitrogen Content in Plant (%):

Plant samples were analyzed for N content in plants at 45, 90, 150 and 210 DAP (Table 4) showed that both main (methods) and sub plot treatments (application schedule) significantly influenced the N content in plant at all the growth stages N content of the plant. Significantly higher N content was noticed in B2 than B1 at all the active crop growth stages upto maturity. Band placement of N under soil surface might have lowered N₂O emissions (Van Kessel *et al.*, 2013) and surged the availability of N in soil solution which was readily acquired and transported to leaves and stem raising the N level in the plant. Oliver *et al.* (2013) found the similar results from their investigation.

Table 4: Effect of different methods of fertilizer application and numbers of splits of N and K on nitrogen content in plant of sugarcane crop

Methods of fertilizer application	Nitrogen Content (%)			
	45 DAP	90 DAP	150 DAP	210 DAP
B1 Broadcasting	1.483	1.551	1.244	0.647
B2 Band placement	1.544	1.685	1.335	0.664
SEm±	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001
CD (P=0.05)	0.014	0.008	0.005	0.004
Number of splits of N and K				
T1 5 splits	1.559	1.550	1.360	0.685
T2 6 splits	1.442	1.556	1.314	0.665
T3 7 splits	1.410	1.551	1.306	0.650
T4 3 splits	1.644	1.759	1.179	0.622
SEm±	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001
CD (P=0.05)	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.003
CD of Factor (B) at same level of A	0.015	0.011	0.011	0.005
CD of Factor (A) at same level of B	0.016	0.011	0.010	0.005

SEm± represents standard error, **CD (P=0.05)** represents critical difference between treatments at 5% level of significance, **NS** represents that treatments are not significant at at 5% level of significance, **DAP** represents number of days after planting




Fig 2: Effect of different methods of fertilizer application and numbers of splits of N and K on nitrogen content in plant percentage of sugarcane crop

Among the sub plot treatments, T4 registered significantly higher N content in plant than others at 45 DAP (1.664 %) and at 90 DAP (1.759 %) which might be due to application of whole amount of fertilizer N upto 90 DAP in this sub plot treatment leading to higher uptake in early growth stages which was further reflected in raised N content in Plants (Prasertsak *et al.*, 2002; Stranack and Miles, 2011). However, maximum plant N at 150 (1.360 %) and 210 (0.685 %) DAP was observed under T1 which was significantly more than others treatments which might be a consequence of little response of crop to fertilizer N application at grand growth stage in other treatments. These findings resonates to those of Wiedenfeld (1995) who also reported similar trend in his investigation. His work clearly showed that N content in plants in all treatments was highly reduced from 150 to 210 DAP because of initiation of grand growth period in sugarcane after 150 days.

It is evident from the data (Table 4) that under both main plot treatments the N content in plant registered a hike from 45 to 90 DAP during the most active period of crop growth but then gradually came down at 150 and 210 DAP as the plant advanced towards maturity. N content in plants was highly reduced in sub plot treatments from 150 to 210 DAP. Interaction between methods of fertilizer application and application of RDF in different number of splits was significant in influencing N content in plant.

3.1.2 Phosphorus Content in Plant (%)

Plant samples were analyzed for P content in plants at 45, 90, 150 and 210 DAP and statistical analysis of data disclosed that P content in plant was significantly affected under main plot treatments (Table 5). P content recorded at different growth stages was found significantly higher under B2 P content

recorded in plant sample in case of band placement is 0.156, 0.233, 0.145 and 0.094% at 45(0.156 %), 90(0.233), 150(0.145%) and 210(0.094%) DAP, respectively. The trend observed was identical to that observed in case of plant N content. Band application of fertilizer resulted in proper placement of P in the vicinity of roots concentering the availability of P to roots and at the same time minimizing contact with soil thereby reducing its fixation (Rehim *et al.*, 2016;Shah *et al.*, 2006). Intimate root and fertilizer association enhanced P uptake which increased P concentration in plant. This notion is supported by findings of Linkhor *et al.* (2002) and Ticconi *et al.* (2004). P content in plant samples increased from 0.156 % (45 DAP) to 0.233% (90 DAP). Then it was decreased to 0.145% at 150 DAP and further a drastic reduction was observed at 210 DAP (0.081%).

Among the sub plot treatments, different number of splits failed to exert any remarkable influence at any of the growth stages which might be due application of whole P at planting time in all the sub treatments causing similar response in all the treatments. A large reduction in P content was observed from 45 to 90 DAP and from 150 to 210 DAP in sub plot treatments too which might be related to physiology of plant as concentration of primary macronutrients generally drops at the end of active growth period (Stranack and Miles, 2011).

Interaction between methods of fertilizer application and application of RDF in different number of splits was significant in influencing N content in plant.

Table 5: Effect of different methods of fertilizer application and numbers of splits of N and K on phosphorus content in plant of sugarcane crop

Treatments	Phosphorus Content in Plant (%)			
	45DAP	90 DAP	150 DAP	210 DAP
Methods of fertilizer application				
B1-Broadcasting	0.146	0.219	0.136	0.081
B2 -Band placement	0.156	0.233	0.145	0.094
SEm±	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003
CD (P=0.05)	0.001	0.001	0.001	NS
Number of splits of N and K				
T1 -5 splits	0.155	0.229	0.145	0.093
T2 -6 splits	0.151	0.224	0.143	0.090
T3 -7 splits	0.150	0.223	0.138	0.085
T4-3 splits	0.149	0.227	0.135	0.082
SEm±	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS
CD of Factor (B) at same level of A	NS	0.001	NS	NS
CD of Factor (A) at same level of B	0.001	0.001	0.001	NS

SEM± represents standard error, **CD (P=0.05)** represents critical difference between treatments at 5% level of significance, **NS** represents that treatments are not significant at 5% level of significance, **DAP** represents number of days after planting




Fig 3: Effect of different methods of fertilizer application and numbers of splits of N and K on Phosphorus content in plant percentage of sugarcane crop

3.1.3 Potassium Content in Plant (%)

Plant samples were analyzed for their K content at 45, 90, 150 and 210 DAP and perusal of data (Table 6) confirms that K content in plant was significantly influenced under main and sub plot treatments at all the growth stages except at 210 DAP and more accumulation K occurred in the plants under B2 than B1. Treatment B1 accumulated 2.498, 2.266, 1.980 and 0.764% K as compared to 2.648, 2.342, 2.034 and 0.773% plant K under B2 at 45, 90, 150 and 210 DAP, respectively. More adequate supply of N to roots in band placement might have synergistic effect of nitrate on potassium cation uptake (Whitehead, 2000) which has ultimately lead to higher plant K content.

Among the sub plot treatments, T4 reported slightly higher K content (2.770%) in plant compared to all other treatments at 45 DAP which can be ascribed to application of whole fertilizer K at sowing under this treatment. These findings are supported by Wubale and Girma (2018) who suggested that increasing the application of a particular nutrient improves its concentration in plant. T1 reported slightly higher K content in plants compared to other treatments at 90(2.325 %), 150(2.140 %) and 210(0.776%) DAP which might be due to the continuous application of higher amount of fertilizer K applied in five splits than other treatments at optimum growth stages upto 120 DAP

However, in case of K content in plants altogether a different trend was observed. In both main plot and sub plot treatment K content observed at 45 DAP kept on decreasing upto 210 DAP which might be due to transition of crop from active growth stage to maturity causing a fall in nutrient uptake. During the later growth stages, uptake is suppressed as a result of low rate of diffusion process owing to fall in soil temperature during the months of October to December. These findings are corroborated with those of Stranack and Miles (2011). Interaction between methods of fertilizer application and application of RDF in different number of splits was significant in influencing the K content in plant at all the growth stages except at 210 DAP

Table 6: Effect of different methods of fertilizer application and numbers of splits of N and K on potassium content in plant of sugarcane crop

Treatments	Potassium Content in Plant (%)			
	45 DAP	90 DAP	150 DAP	210 DAP
Methods of fertilizer application				
B1-Broadcasting	2.498	2.266	1.980	0.764
B2-Band placement	2.648	2.342	2.034	0.773
SEm±	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.003
CD (P=0.05)	0.019	0.010	0.007	NS
Number of splits of N and K				
T1 -5 splits	2.649	2.325	2.140	0.776
T2 -6 splits	2.512	2.326	2.042	0.770
T3 -7 splits	2.359	2.306	1.812	0.767
T4-3 splits	2.770	2.261	2.035	0.763
SEm±	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.004
CD (P=0.05)	0.010	0.007	0.009	NS
CD of Factor (B) at same level of A	0.020	0.013	0.014	NS
CD of Factor (A) at same level of B	0.022	0.013	0.013	NS

SEm± represents standard error, CD (P=0.05) represents critical difference between treatments at 5% level of significance, NS represents that treatments are not significant at at 5% level of significance, DAP represents number of days after planting




Fig 4: Effect of different methods of fertilizer application and numbers of splits of N and K on potassium content in plant percentage of sugarcane crop

3.2 Dry matter accumulation($t\ ha^{-1}$)

Dry matter accumulation by plants was heavily influenced under both main plot and sub plot treatments. Careful interpretation of data (Table 7) elucidates the significant effectiveness of B2 over B1 in accumulation of dry matter at 150 DAP ($12.407\ t\ ha^{-1}$) and at harvest ($29.191\ t\ ha^{-1}$). This superiority of B2 can be accredited to more absorbance of nutrients from the nearby placement zone which recharges the soil with nutrient influx from time to time and as a result plant is able to assimilate more biomass by utilizing a large pool of available nutrients. The reduction in volatilization losses due to banding maintained optimum concentration of N in soil for enhanced nutrient uptake and consequently increased dry matter yield (Malhi and Ukrainetz 1990).

Among the sub plot treatments, T1 produced maximum amount of dry matter at 150 DAP ($16.547\ t\ ha^{-1}$) and ($33.703\ t\ ha^{-1}$) which was significantly greater than those produced by all the other treatments. The better performance of T1 over others may be due to timely application of nutrients during the most active growth period (after seedling and before maturity) of sugarcane fulfilling its nutritional requirements and enabling it to attain its maximum biomass assimilation potential under prevailing conditions (Ma *et al.*, 2013). Treatments T2 and T3 were statistically at par with each other at both 150DAP (14.215 and $13.478\ t\ ha^{-1}$ respectively) and at harvesting (31.382 and $30.632\ t\ ha^{-1}$ respectively). Interactions between the main and sub plot treatment was not significant in influencing the dry matter accumulation.

Table 7: Effect of main and sub plot treatments on dry matter accumulation

Method of fertilizer application	Dry matter accumulation (t ha ⁻¹)	
	150 DAP	At Harvest
B1-Broadcasting	12.407	29.191
B2- Band Placement	15.493	33.008
SEm±	0.466	0.324
CD (P=0.05)	3.051	2.122
Number of splits of N and K		
T1-5splits	16.547	33.703
T2-6 splits	14.215	31.382
T3-7splits	13.478	30.632
T4-3splits	11.560	28.680
SEm±	0.476	0.606
CD (P=0.05)	1.483	1.888

SEm± represents standard error, CD (P=0.05) represents critical difference between treatments at 5% level of significance, NS represents that treatments are not significant at at 5% level of significance, DAP represents number of days after planting

3.3 Nutrient uptake (kg ha⁻¹)

Nutrient uptake (kg ha⁻¹) under both main and sub plot treatments was assessed for N, P and K at harvesting and it was perceived that uptake of all the nutrients was heavily influenced by these treatments (Table 8).

3.3.1 N uptake (kg ha⁻¹)

N uptake was maximum in B2(219.60 kg ha⁻¹) which was significantly higher than B1(189.22 kg ha⁻¹) under main plot treatments. Localized supply of N in the root vicinity may have led to more availability and uptake in band placement (Jing *et al.*, 2010). According to Ju *et al.* (2009), reduced levels of ammonia volatilization of urea due to its deep placement in soil under banding had a synergistic effect on N availability to plants while broadcasting led to enormous loss of N through volatilization (Sommer *et al.*, 2004). Out of all the sub plot treatments, T1(231.03 kg ha⁻¹) registered substantially higher N uptake. The outstanding performance of T1 compared to fellow treatments might be due to targeted and timely delivery of fertilizer N throughout the most critical growth periods which enhanced the N uptake (Ma *et al.*, 2013)

T2 (209.00kg ha⁻¹) and T3(199.35kg ha⁻¹) were found to be statistically at par with each other. Interaction between sub and main plot treatment was not significant in affecting N uptake.

3.3.2 P uptake (kg ha⁻¹)

Nutrient uptake pattern of P exhibited similarity with that of N uptake. B2 (23.74 kg ha⁻¹) and T1(31.35 kg ha⁻¹) emerged out to be best treatments under main and sub plot treatments respectively with significantly higher P uptake than other treatments. This might be due to synergistic effect of N and K fertilization along with P. Plant roots proliferate in response to abundance of mineral nutrients in its vicinity leading to enhanced P uptake this zone (Bashir *et al.*, 2015). Ma *et al.* (2013) also observed 86-150% more plant density in banding treatments as compared to broadcasting. Application of RDN and RDK at required active growth period in optimum number of splits improves the P uptake (Ma *et al.*, 2013).

Treatments T2(28.41 kg ha⁻¹) and T3(26.18 kg ha⁻¹) were statistically at par with each other. Interaction between sub and main plot treatment was not significant in affecting dry matter accumulation.


3.3.3 K uptake (kg ha⁻¹)

Nutrient uptake pattern of P showed identical trend with that of N uptake. B2 (255.24 kg ha⁻¹) and T1(261.72 kg ha⁻¹) emerged out to be best treatments under main and sub plot treatments respectively with significantly higher K uptake than other treatments. Lower uptake of K in B1(223.25 kg ha⁻¹) might be due to stratification of K as suggested by Borges and Mallarino (2001) while reduced K fixation in B2 might have increased its availability and thus uptake (Bashir *et al.*, 2015). Coinciding the K fertilizer splits with the most aggressive growth stages could have led to higher uptake under T1 compared to other treatments where a mismatch of nutrient demand and supply resulted in lower uptake (Bhati and Singh, 2015). Treatments T2(241.60kg ha⁻¹) and T3(234.95 kg ha⁻¹) were statistically at par with each other. Interaction between sub and main plot treatment was not significant in affecting K uptake.

Table 8: N, P and K uptake (kg ha⁻¹) under main and sub plot treatments

Method of fertilizer application	Nutrient uptake (Kg ha ⁻¹) at the end of harvesting		
	N uptake	P uptake	K uptake
B1-Broadcasting	189.22	23.74	223.25
B2- Band Placement	219.60	31.04	255.24
SEm±	4.07	0.93	3.23
CD (P=0.05)	26.68	6.11	21.19
Number of splits of N and K			
T1-5 splits	231.03	31.35	261.72
T2-6 splits	209.00	28.41	241.60
T3-7 splits	199.35	26.18	234.95
T4-3 splits	178.27	23.61	218.73
SEm±	5.91	10.92	4.76
CD (P=0.05)	18.42	2.88	14.84

Comment [A5]: This is very important part and so there is requirement to justify this phenomena more elaborately with more reference support



Comment [A6]: In this graph it is better to not represent factor A and B using single attachment.

Fig 5: Effect of different methods of fertilizer application and numbers of splits of N and K on Nutrient uptake

3.4 Partial factor productivity (PNP):

Partial factor productivity serves as a long-term indicator of trends and to ascertain the productivity of a cropping system in comparison to its nutrient input (Dobermann, 2007).

3.4.1 Partial factor productivity of N (PNP_N):

Among the main plot treatments, PNP_N achieved through B2 ($579.23 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) was significantly higher than B1 ($626.33 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) at the time of harvesting. The delivery of N in bands enriched the soil and created nutrient rich patches in the proximity of roots which caused lateral root proliferation and granted more access of added N to plants as compared to broadcasting increasing PNP_N (Qine *et al.* 2005; Chassot *et al.*, 2005).

Among the sub plots treatments, T1 attained significantly higher ($634.68 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) PNP_N compared to other treatments. The application of N in optimum no. of splits throughout the active growth stages of crop coupled with reduction in volatilization losses led to better utilization of applied fertilizer which increased its efficiency to absorb and assimilate more nutrients which manifested in higher PNP_N (Haile *et al.*, 2012). The PNP_N of N ranged from ($634.68 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) in T1 to ($565.82 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) in T4. The PNP_N of T2 ($1836.16 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) and T3 ($1795.74 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) were statistically similar.

3.4.2 Partial factor productivity of P (PNP_P):

Among the main plot treatments, partial factor productivity attained through B2 ($1879.00 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) was significantly higher than B1 ($1737.70 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) at the time of harvesting. Similar observations were

recorded by Ma *et al.* (2013). The higher PNP_P under B2 than B1 might be attributed to reduced fixation and adsorption of added N by soil particles under banding and improvement in movement of immobile P to plant roots through diffusion (McLaughlin *et al.*, 2011). Among the sub plots treatments, T1 attained significantly higher ($634.68 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) PNP compared to other treatments. Application of N and K The PNP of P ranged from ($1904.04 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) in T1 to ($1697.46 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) in T4. The application of RDN and RDK by dividing them in optimum no. of splits during the most active growth stages of crop also promoted the continuous supply P due to synergistic effect (Ma *et al.*, 2013). PNP_N observed under T2 ($1836.16 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) was statistically at par with T3 ($1795.74 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$).

3.4.3 Partial factor productivity of K (PNP_K):

Among the main plot treatments, partial factor productivity attained through B2 ($1879.00 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) was significantly higher than B1 ($1737.70 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) at the time of harvesting. Application through broadcasting could have led to stratification of K in soil which decreased its PNP (Borges and Mallarino, 2001). Maintaining a zone of high concentration of K near root zone in row crops by banding where applied K is in contact with less soil volume improves PNP_K (Baligar and Bennet, 1986).

Among the sub plots treatments, T1 attained significantly higher ($634.68 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) PNP compared to other treatments. Superiority of T1 over other sub plot treatments can be ascribed to regular and optimum supply nutrients during the most active growth stages (Bhati and Singh, 2015) of sugarcane which falls within a range of 45 to 135 DAP (de Castro *et al.*, 2017) The (PNP_K) ranged from ($1904.04 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) in T1 to ($1697.46 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) in T4 and. The PNP_K of T2 ($1836.16 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) and T3 ($1795.74 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$) were statistically similar.

Table 9: Effect of main and sub plot treatments on partial factor productivity (PFP)

Method of fertilizer application	Partial factor productivity (PFP) (kg kg^{-1}) at the end of harvesting		
	(PNP_N)	(PNP_P)	(PNP_K)
B1-Broadcasting	579.23	1737.70	1737.70
B2- Band Placement	626.33	1879.00	1879.00
SEm \pm	4.59	13.77	13.77
CD (P=0.05)	30.11	90.25	90.25
Number of splits of N and K			
T1-5splits	634.68	1904.04	1904.04
T2-6 splits	612.05	1836.16	1836.16
T3-7splits	598.58	1795.74	1795.74
T4-3splits	565.82	1697.46	1697.46
SEm \pm	4.33	12.99	12.99
CD (P=0.05)	13.49	44.48	44.48

4. Conclusion:

From the above results and discussion it can be concluded that applying the fertilizers at specified zones during the active growth period of the sugarcane positively impacted the ~~macro-nutrient~~ nitrogen and potassium content in plant along with an increase in the nutrient uptake and dry matter accumulation of the plant. Phosphorus content in plant remained unaffected by splits. Nutrient content in plant tissue reduces as the crop life cycle shifts from active growth period towards maturity. Banding of fertilizer gave better results for almost all the physiological parameters. It is also suggested that fertilizer doses should be kept low for application in basal and early growth stages. Too many or less splits of fertilizer N and K are not instrumental in increasing the partial factor productivity of the crop and thus number of splits should be decided carefully. B1 X T1 emerged out as best combination for targeted and timely fertilization.

Comment [A7]: Write in detail

References:

- Baligar, V. C., and Bennett, O. L. (1986). Outlook on fertilizer use efficiency in the tropics. *Fertilizer Research*, **10**(1): 83-96.
- Bashir, S., Anwar, S., Ahmad, B., Sarfraz, Q. and Khatk, W. (2015). Response of wheat crop to phosphorus levels and application methods. *Journal of Environment and Earth Sciences*, **5**(9): 151-155
- Bruulsema, T. W., Fixen, P. E., & Sulewski, G. D. (Eds.). (2016). *4R plant nutrition: a manual for improving the management of plant nutrition*. International Plant Nutrition Institute.
- Bhati, A. S., and Manpreet, S. (2015). Effect of split application of nitrogen and potassium on yield, nutrient uptake and nutrient use efficiency in Bt cotton. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, **17**(1): 71-73
- Borges, R., and Mallarino, A. P. (2001). Deep banding phosphorus and potassium fertilizers for corn managed with ridge tillage. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **65**(2): 376-384
- Chassot, A., Stamp, P. and Richner, W. (2001). Root distribution and morphology of maize seedlings as affected by tillage and fertilizer placement. *Plant and Soil*, **231**(1): 123-135.
- de Castro, S. G. Q., Decaro, S. T., Franco, H. C. J., Magalhães, P. S. G., Garside, A., and Mutton, M. A. (2017). Best practices of nitrogen fertilization management for sugarcane under green cane trash blanket in Brazil. *Sugar Tech*, **19**(1): 51-56.
- Dobermann, A. (2007). Nutrient use efficiency – measurement and management. In “IFA International Workshop on Fertilizer Best Management Practices”, Brussels, Belgium, p1-28.
- Fixen, P., Brentrup, F., Bruulsema, T., Garcia, F., Norton, R., and Zingore, S. (2015). Nutrient/fertilizer use efficiency: measurement, current situation and trends. *Managing water and fertilizer for sustainable agricultural intensification*, 270.
- Jing, J., Rui, Y., Zhang, F., Rengel, Z. and Shen, J. (2010). Localized application of phosphorus and ammonium improves growth of maize seedlings by stimulating root proliferation and rhizosphere acidification. *Field Crops Research*, **119**(2-3): 355-364.

- Ju, X. T., Xing, G. X., Chen, X. P., Zhang, S. L., Zhang, L. J., Liu, X. J., Cui, Z. L., Y. Bin, Christie, P., Zhu, Z.L. Zhang, F. S. (2009). Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **106**(9): 3041-3046.
- Linkohr, B. I., Williamson, L. C., Fitter, A. H., and Leyser, H. O. (2002). Nitrate and phosphate availability and distribution have different effects on root system architecture of *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Journal*, **29**(6), 751-760.
- Khandagave, R. B. (2002). Influence of organic and inorganic manures on sugarcane and sugar yield. In *Proceedings of the 64th Annual Convention of the Sugar Technologists' Association of India, Cochin (Kerala), India, 17th-19th August, 2002*. Sugar Technologists' Association of India.
- Haile, D., Nigussie, D., and Ayana, A. (2012). Nitrogen use efficiency of bread wheat: Effects of nitrogen rate and time of application. *Journal of soil science and plant nutrition*, **12**(3): 389-410.
- Jackson, M. L. (1973). Soil chemical analysis, pentice hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India, 498, 151-154
- Ma, Q., Zhang, F., Rengel, Z., and Shen, J. (2013). Localized application of NH_4^+ -N plus P at the seedling and later growth stages enhances nutrient uptake and maize yield by inducing lateral root proliferation. *Plant and Soil*, **372**(1): 65-80.
- Malhi, S. S., and Ukrainetz, D. (1990). Effect of band spacing of urea on dry matter and crude protein yield of bromegrass. *Fertilizer research*, **21**(3): 185-187.
- McLaughlin, M. J., McBeath, T. M., Smernik, R., Stacey, S. P., Ajiboye, B., and Guppy, C. (2011). The chemical nature of P accumulation in agricultural soils—implications for fertiliser management and design: an Australian perspective. *Plant and Soil*, **349**(1): 69-87.
- Oliveira, F. M., Pinheiro, I. O., Souto-Maior, A. M., Martin, C., Gonçalves, A. R., and Rocha, G. J. (2013). Industrial-scale steam explosion pretreatment of sugarcane straw for enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose for production of second generation ethanol and value-added products. *Bioresource technology*, **130**: 168-173.
- Olsen, S.R., Cole, C.V., Watanabe, F.S. and Dean, L.A. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *Circular United States Departments of Agriculture*, 939.
- Piper, C.S. (1966). Soil and water analysis. Published by University of Adelaide, Australia
- Prasertsak, P., Freney, J.R., Denmead, O.T., Saffigna, P.G., Prove, B.G. and Reghenzani, J.R. (2012). Effect of fertilizer placement on nitrogen loss from sugarcane in tropical Queensland. *Nutrient Cycling in Agrosystems*, **62**: 229-239
- Qin, R., Stamp, P., and Richner, W. (2005). Impact of tillage and banded starter fertilizer on maize root growth in the top 25 centimeters of the soil. *Agronomy Journal*, **97**(3): 674-683.
- Rehim, A., Hussain, M., Hussain, S., Noreen, S., Dogan, H., Haq, M.Z.U. and Ahmad, S. (2016). Band-application of phosphorus with farm manure improves phosphorus use efficiency, productivity, and net returns of wheat on sandy clay loam soil. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, **40**: 319-326
- Shah, S. K. H., Aslam, M., Khan, P., Memon, M. Y., Imtiaz, M., Siddiqui, S. N., and Nizamuddin, M. (2006). Effect of different methods and rates of phosphorus application in mungbean. *Soil and Environment*, **25**(1), 55-58

- Sheoran, O. P., Tonk, D. S., Kaushik, L. S., Hasija, R. C., and Pannu, R. S. (1998). Statistical software package for agricultural research workers. *Recent advances in information theory, statistics & computer applications by DS Hooda & RC Hasija Department of Mathematics Statistics, CCS HAU, Hisar*, 139-143.
- Sommer, S. G., Schjoerring, J. K., and Denmead, O. T. (2004). Ammonia emission from mineral fertilizers and fertilized crops. *Advances in agronomy*, **82**(557622): 82008-82004
- Stranack, R.A. and Miles, N. (2011). Nitrogen nutrition on sugarcane on an alluvial soil on the Kwazulu-Natal North Coast: effects on yield and leaf nutrient concentrations. *Proceedings of South African Sugarcane Technologist's Association*, **84**: 198-209
- Subbiah, B.V. and Asija, G.L. (1956). A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Science*, **25**:259-60.
- Ticconi, C. A., Delatorre, C. A., Lahner, B., Salt, D. E., and Abel, S. (2004). Arabidopsis pdr2 reveals a phosphate-sensitive checkpoint in root development. *The Plant Journal*, *37*(6), 801-814.
- Van Kessel, C., R. Venterea, J. Six, M.A. Adviento-Borbe, B. Linquist, and K.J. van Groenigen. 2013. Climate, duration, and N placement determine N₂O emissions in reduced tillage systems: A meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology* **19**(1): 33–44.
- Wubale, T. and Girma, A. (2018) Effect of rate and time of nitrogen application on growth and quality of seed cane produced from tissue cultured plantlets at Tana Beles sugar development project, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Comprehensive Research in Biological Sciences*, **5**(3): 23-32
- Walkley, A.J. and Black, C.A. (1934). Estimation of soil organic carbon by the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science* **37**:29-38
- Wiedenfeld, R. P. (1995). Effects of irrigation and N fertilizer application on sugarcane yield and quality. *Field crops research*, **43**(2-3), 101-108.
- Zhang, J., and Barber, S. A. (1992). Maize root distribution between phosphorus-fertilized and unfertilized soil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, *56*(3): 819-822.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

