

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_96019</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Multi-temporal based modified meteorological drought and hydrological drought of Marathwada region of Maharashtra</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes it important</b>  <b>Not, suggested title: Multi-temporal SPI and RDI based on the modified meteorological draught and hydrological drought of.....</b>  <b>Needs to be revised. Evaluation of the performance of modified SPIe and RDle is not seen in the main document. After all, these indices are not modified.</b> <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes it correctly, but wrongly presented. SPI and RDI are not modified; the input is the one which is modified.</b>  <b>Yes, are current</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Language needs to be edited, it is recommended manuscript to be edited with language editor before it publication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is noted that the author has miss read the reader in the abstract by including the phrase of "evaluation of the performance of modified SPI and RDI" while such evaluation is not seen. Furthermore, these indices are not modified, only the input is the one which have been modified.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Edwin Chrisant Rutalebwa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dar es Salaam Institute of Technology, Tanzania</b>