

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95965
Title of the Manuscript:	Synoptic and Dynamical Characteristics of Severe Cyclonic Storm ASANI May 2022
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes it is important , contains new research results of tropical storm 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes they are 5. yes it is 6. Yes references are sufficient for presented paper For such type of papers usually satellite imaginary and numerical weather model outputs are used. In presented paper only relative simple parameters are used	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, the paper needs strong grammar and spelling checking	
Optional/General comments	The paper is important as contains new research outcomes and contributes to the field, but is written incorrectly and needs modification	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marika Tatishvili
Department, University & Country	Georgian Technical University, Georgia