

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_IJECC_95855 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Impact of nutrient management on soil chemical properties and yield of maize (Zea mays L.) |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1. Although this study is reinvention of wheel, however it might be considered as a data base for the community. 2. Yes 3. No, Abstract is not comprehensive. Methodology and results are not discussed properly. 4. Literature review is not incorporated in Introduction section. Objectives and need of study are not clear. 5. Data is not analysed statistically. Overall language of the manuscript is not scientific. 6. References are not sufficient, indeed missing in Introduction section. | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No, language needs to be scientific and coherent. Literature review must be added. | |
| Optional/General comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Although efforts have been put in the collection of samples and data, but data display is not scientific. It can be improved with slight changes. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Sadia Sultana |
| Department, University & Country | University of Agriculture, Pakistan |