

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95843
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the crop efficiency using productivity indices of different kharif crops grown in Chhattisgarh state
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. YES THE MANUSCRIPT IS IMPORTANT 2. THE TITLE IS ADEQUATE 3. COMPREHENSIVE SUMMARY? 4. SECTIONS IS ADEQUATE SUBSCRIPTIONS? 5. CORRECT MANUSCRIPT? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them on the review form. (I MADE CORRECTIONS AND SUGGESTIONS IN THE MANUSCRIPT I RECOMMEND PUBLISHING ONLY AFTER CORRECTIONS)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NEEDS A SMALL REVIEW. I MADE SUGGESTIONS AND CORRECTIONS IN THE MANUSCRIPTS	
Optional/General comments	RECOMMEND ACCEPT AFTER CORRECTION.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Magno De Oliveira
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal Do Tocantins, Brazil