

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95843
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the crop efficiency using productivity indices of different kharif crops grown in Chhattisgarh state
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The abstract is not concise and exceeds 500 words while it should be maximum of 300 words. The abstract must be revised completely according to the format of the journal. 2. The title should be "Evaluating the efficiency of kharif crops by productivity indices in Chhattisgarh state, India" instead of Evaluating the crop efficiency using productivity indices of different kharif crops grown in Chhattisgarh state 3. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not according to the journal. The authors must follow the instructions of the journal while revising the whole manuscript. 4. The manuscript mainly consist of collected data (20 years record of area and production data) but scientifically the data is correct as the data is collected from an agricultural research center. 5. The references are not sufficient because only 6 references are added. Additional references are required. 6. The introduction is very short and needs a complete revision and literature survey is missing. 7. In the result and discussion section no references found. The authors must correlate their findings to other research work already published. 8. The conclusion region is just a repetition and need proper revision. 9. In short a complete revision of the whole manuscript is needed for which the authors should read the instructions/guidelines for authors.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	A proof reading should be done before final submission.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hazrat Sher
Department, University & Country	Govt: Postgraduate Jahanzeb College, Pakistan