

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_95731
Title of the Manuscript:	Energy Consumption and Cost Analysis of Different Chickpea Nipping Operations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Although the manuscript is significant, there are no scientific research methods used. In my opinion, the research's title is appropriate. The article's abstract is not comprehensive. It has to be modified. The reason is that in the abstract section, the researcher did not even explain the study objective, methods, or key findings. Therefore, it has to be corrected. The manuscript's structure and subsections also need to be revised. The author of this article should revise the manuscript in accordance with the journal's author guidelines. Important components should be included and adjusted in accordance with the author's guidelines. Additionally, the author should modify his writing style to fit the journal. In general, I, as a reviewer, strongly advise the author to adhere to the journal's article writing template.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English used by the authors seems to be good.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Urgessa Tilahun Bekabil
Department, University & Country	Oromia State University, Ethiopia