

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_96294
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative anti-plasmodial and cytotoxic effect of <i>Annickia affinis</i> (Exell) Versteegh & Sosef leaves, stem bark and roots methanolic extracts.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	The manuscript is important for the scientific community I suggest an alternative title (Comparative anti-plasmodial and cytotoxic effect of the methanolic extracts of leaves, stem bark and roots of <i>Annickia affinis</i>) The abstract of the article is comprehensive Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate I think the manuscript is scientifically correct The references need improvement with recent reference	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	All comments are amended in the revised manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sahar Hassan Orabi
Department, University & Country	University of Sadat City, Egypt