

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_96294
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative anti-plasmodial and cytotoxic effect of <i>Annikia affinis</i> (Exell) Versteegh & Sosef leaves, stem bark and roots methanolic extracts.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript has scientific relevance.</p> <p>Title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>The overall sections of the manuscript are well structured.</p> <p>In my opinion, the manuscript has scientific rationality.</p> <p>References are appropriate.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English proficiency of the paper is appropriate.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The current research work on Anti-plasmodial potential of <i>Annikia affinis</i> is apt with respect to malarial epidemic (<i>Plasmodium falciparum</i>). The results suggests the pharmacological potential of <i>Annikia affinis</i> (Stem bark was more bio active), therefore authors are advised to conduct molecular characterization studies of anti plasmodial bioactivity for future studies. Introduction and materials and methods parts are well structured. Authors are suggested to test the Anti-plasmodial potential of <i>Annikia affinis</i> in other strains of plasmodium – <i>P. vivax</i>, <i>P. ovale</i> and <i>P. malariae</i> for comparative studies. Please check the typographical errors. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	SK Chand Basha
Department, University & Country	KL (Deemed to be University), India