

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_95789
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AND UPTAKE OF HUMAN PAPILLOMA VIRUS VACCINE AMONG FEMALE ADOLESCENTS IN PORT HARCOURT, NIGERIA. A CALL FOR URGENT INTERVENTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The aspects dealt with in the manuscript are essential to the scientific community, as it deals with a subject of great interest, especially in the region where the study is being carried out.</p> <p>2. Title:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I consider the title too long and unnecessary to state where the research was carried out, as this is explicitly stated in the section on Materials and Methods. I suggest to the authors a shorter title such as "KNOWLEDGE AND UPTAKE OF HUMAN PAPILLOMAVIRUS VACCINE AMONG FEMALE ADOLESCENTS IN PORT HARCOURT. " <p>3. Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The abstract meets the journal's requirements, is informative and complies with the recommended word count. <p>4. Subsections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The manuscript's sub-sections and structuring are adequate. <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Keywords should be in alphabetical order, and no abbreviations should be used. - The linguistic aspects pointed out in the manuscript should be corrected. <p>Abbreviations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abbreviations such as ICO, IARC, and WHO, do not need to be stated or written in full on first use as they are internationally used and known abbreviations. <p>Quotations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The authors should correct the points made concerning some quotations in the manuscript. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Orlando Téllez Almenares
Department, University & Country	University of Medical Sciences of Santiago de Cuba, Cuba