

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International STD Research &amp; Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_I-SRR_95789
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AND UPTAKE OF HUMAN PAPILLOMA VIRUS VACCINE AMONG FEMALE ADOLESCENTS IN PORT HARCOURT, NIGERIA. A CALL FOR URGENT INTERVENTION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journali-srr.com/index.php/I-SRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. It is important for scientific community; brings an scenario about difficulties in vaccine uptake. 2. the title is suitable 3. It is comprehensive 4 are according standard requirements for publication 5. yes the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. Despite vaccination population basis, started in 2006, results about uptake and effects in reducing are just coming up 10 year or later with end point results, the article does not mention the year HPV vaccine was introduce in Nigeria, suggest this article, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.05.039">10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.05.039</a> , also the cost of vaccine and GDP may give a view of another cause for the uptake, and why the government does not include in their immunization program. Aparagraph will help. But as mentioned above the article the paper focus social monitoring.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes no more comments.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Milton Soria</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Natinonal Health Labvoratories Institute, Bolivia</b>