

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_96046
Title of the Manuscript:	Mesothelioma and Small cell lung cancer; bioactive effects of Nigella Sativa in cell lines
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>Yes, its important for the Scientific community to have research as this which helps to develop indepth knowlegde regarding the effectiveness of drugs.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, it is comprehensible, but can be in layman language so that they may understand how it is more beneficial to know regarding various research.</p> <p>Subsection can also include aims , objectives, need of the study in introductory part. In methodology sampling criteria needs to be included. Scientifically , absolutely ok if various subsection in methodology. Hypothesis or assumption of study, level of significance under which hypothesis is proved need to be included.</p> <p>Yes</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Objective on which the study is been proved or the hypothesis can be included. If methodology subsection is been included, it helps the reader to understand	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christulas Jyoti
Department, University & Country	Tata Main Hospital, College of Nursing, India