

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_96730
Title of the Manuscript:	Chromium Concentrations in Automotive Paints from Retail Stores in Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it's very scientifically important. -Yes the title of this manuscript is suitable. -Yes, but I suggest more light should be given on the methods of analysis. -All subsections are well structured. -Yes -Yes, references are recent and sufficient for the manuscript though some few references are too old. -For a better abstract journal format should be follow. -The authors should revise on the information given on the number of sets of samples used for the experiment. -Geographical map of the sampling sites if provided would make the manuscript look genuine. -I suggest to the authors to use appropriate spacing. -Referencing format should be followed using the referencing managers.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I suggest to the authors to revise on the grammars as few have been observed.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is good for publication in this Journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patrick Onen
Department, University & Country	University of Kerala, India