

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_96585
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis and characterization of nickel(II), cobalt(II) and Zinc(II) complexes with 5-chloropyridine-2-carbaldehydethiosemicarbazone
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. It is dealing with biologically active compounds.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Spelling mistakes and incomplete sentences are there. (Introduction: line 7,10,14,etc.,). Rewrite. Yes. To some extent</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. (all are very old references). The latest reference of this article which was mentioned is 11 years old. Hence the author may kindly look into the latest articles published in this field and rearrange all the references. Ref No: 21 & 24 may be omitted.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but need to check line by line as there were so many spelling mistakes were there. Example:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction: line 11 (these) 2. 2.3.4: line 5 (dry) 3. 2.3.5: line 5 (dry) 4. 3.1: line 1 (from) 5. 3.2: line 10 (bidentate) 6. Conclusions: line 4 (synthesized) 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> I. Figure 2 & 7 not clear. Make the image again. II. Correct and reframe the spelling mistakes and incomplete sentences. III. Suggested references: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 3D Series Metal Complexes Containing Schiff Base Ligand with 2,2'-Bipyridine: Synthesis, Characterization and Assessment of Antifungal Activity B. Kpomah U. Ugbune T. E. Idu, Chemical Science International Journal, Page 34-45, 2021. 2. Synthesis, Characterization and Antimicrobial Activities of Co (III) and Fe (III) Complexes of Imino-N-heterocyclic Carbenes Ligands Samaila Abubakar Musa Muktari Rejoice Atiko, Chemical Science International Journal, Page 59-67, 2021. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	L. Devaraj Stephen
Department, University & Country	SRM Valliammai Engineering College, India